

North Façade Evaluation Portsmouth City Hall 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, NH 03801

December 17, 2014

Prepared For:

City of Portsmouth Public Works Department 680 Peverly Hill Road Portsmouth, NH 03801



North Façade Evaluation Portsmouth City Hall 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, NH 03801

December 17, 2014

Gale JN 828490

Prepared for: City of Portsmouth Portsmouth, NH

table of contents

Purpose and Scope	1
Background	
Leak Audit	
Field Observations	
Destructive Test Cuts	4-6
Discussion	
Recommendations	
Engineering Cost Estimate	

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Cost Estimates Appendix B – Photographic Documentation Appendix C – Test Cut Sketches Appendix D – Hazardous Materials Test Results Appendix E – Reduced Elevations



NORTH FAÇADE EVALUATION PORTSMOUTH CITY HALL PORTSMOUTH, NH GALE JN 828490

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

In accordance with our Contract, Gale Associates, Inc. (Gale) has performed an evaluation of the exterior building envelope components on the north facing façade at Portsmouth City Hall, located at 1 Junkins Avenue in Portsmouth, New Hampshire. The purpose of this evaluation is to provide the City of Portsmouth (Portsmouth) with a better understanding of the current conditions of the walls, and window systems, and to comment on the potential sources of reported moisture infiltration at the north elevation. Included in this report are Gale's observations related to defective or deteriorated exterior masonry and concrete accents, photographic documentation to aid in describing defects, elevation drawings depicting defect locations, test cut sketches, and preliminary budget estimates. Gale's evaluation was limited to the north elevation only.

BACKGROUND

Prior to being utilized as Portsmouth City Hall, the buildings were originally designed and utilized as "Portsmouth Cottage Hospital". The north building was constructed circa 1962 and is a four (4) story building (with a basement level) comprised of brick masonry and precast concrete panel exterior walls over concrete masonry unit (CMU) interior walls, aluminum windows, and low slope roof coverings. While the building is not registered as a historic structure, it is adjacent to the "Portsmouth Cottage Hospital" complex which is listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

Drawings have been provided by Portsmouth to Gale for review. The drawings provided are as follows:

• "Alterations & Additions Portsmouth Hospital" by James H. Ritchie & Associates, dated April 13, 1962

LEAK AUDIT

Representatives of Gale and Portsmouth toured the interior of the building on Wednesday July 23, 2014 in an attempt to locate reported active leak locations. The audit was performed during a period of non-precipitation and therefore active leakage was not observed. However, Portsmouth indicated that there are currently multiple leak locations, during wind driven rain events, specifically at the jambs and sills of the window openings along the north elevation. Evidence of moisture infiltration was typically noted by peeling paint, bubbled plaster/drywall around the window heads, jambs and sills. Additionally, moisture staining was noted on ceiling tiles throughout the north elevation.



FIELD OBSERVATIONS

On July 23, 2014, representatives from Gale conducted visual field evaluations of the exterior north elevation façade, and observed destructive testing of select masonry components. Evaluations of the façade were performed utilizing high powered binoculars from the ground level and an additional up close evaluation via aerial lift. Gale utilized the services of Construction Specialists, Inc. (masonry contractor) to perform the destructive test cuts and patching of the masonry components. The following outlines Gale's observations. Note that the observations made during the destructive test cuts of the masonry walls are not listed below, as they will be addressed in a separate section. Refer to the photographic documentation and plans in the Appendix for additional information:

Exterior Masonry Walls

- 1. Exterior walls are clay brick masonry with interior CMU or concrete spandrel. Walls typically appear to be 13 inches thick. In general, the wall construction consists of the following, from exterior to interior: brick masonry or precast concrete panel, 1-1/2 inch cavity (filled with mortar), building paper (kraft type with asphaltic coating and reinforcement), 8" wide concrete masonry unit or concrete spandrel at floor slab locations, drywall and/or plaster interior finishes.
- 2. Brick and mortar are typically in satisfactory condition. Brick masonry units are a standard modular red clay brick with typical dimensions of 7-3/4" long, 3-1/2" wide, and 2-1/4" tall. Mortar joints are typically 3/8" to 5/8" wide and are tooled to a "concave" finish.
- 3. The exterior masonry wall construction is laid in a common bond pattern with a header every sixth course. However, based on destructive test cuts, many of these headers are no longer engaged within the backup wall or have snapped due to the relative displacement of the outer wythe of masonry and the backup wall. Furthermore, original drawings include the note "dovetail inserts & masonry anchors" at concrete spandrel locations. False headers, which do not extend into the backup wall, are incorporated where concrete floor slabs/spandrel occur.
- 4. Portions of brick masonry and precast concrete panels, primarily located at the second and third floor levels towards the west end, are not plumb and in plane with the surrounding wall construction. Displacement of the outer wythe of brick masonry and precast panel is apparent.
- 5. Isolated areas of cracked or deteriorated mortar joints were identified throughout the north elevation. Typically, step cracking of the mortar joints was observed adjacent to building corners or above window heads and below sills.
- 6. Cracked or spalled brick were also noted in isolated areas along the north elevation. Cracking and spalling of brick masonry did not appear to be widespread.



- 7. Rusted and abandoned anchors were noted throughout the north elevation. Additionally, it appears that previous attempts at providing mechanical ties from the outer wythe into the backup wall were made. Approximately twenty (20) locations on the west side of the north elevation were identified as having a cored hole through the brick masonry with a 9" threaded rod and lock nut/washer combination installed in an apparent attempt to provide a face anchor through the displaced wall sections. The repair attempts were randomly spaced and at one test cut location, the tie assembly was removed by hand from the backup wall and did not appear to be set in any form of adhesive or epoxy nor was it engaged into the backup wall rendering the anchor ineffective.
- 8. Staining, which appears to be efflorescence, was noted and typically occurs below each precast panel onto the brick masonry.
- 9. Ribbed precast panels are typically in satisfactory condition. Isolated areas of cracked or spalled stone were observed, but did not appear to be widespread. On the east end of the north elevation wall, the precast panel ribs extend above the building's roof line at ten (10) locations. The interface between the roof fascia and the precast components appears to be a sheet metal flashing sealed to the precast stone. The detail creates a trough for moisture to collect and potentially travel to the interior of the wall components.
- 10. Building sealants, including, but not limited to, window perimeters, masonry control joints, and precast panel joints are cohesively and adhesively failed throughout the north elevation.
- 11. No form of head joints weeps or baffles were noted above the window lintels. In general, continuous window lintels are in satisfactory condition, with the exception of the upper floors on the east end of the north elevation. Corrosion was noted at these locations.
- 12. The City reported that the outer wythe of masonry between the basement and ground floor of the north elevation was rebuilt approximately 10 years ago. The City indicated that brick ties to the backup wall were sporadically installed and found to be rusted during the construction. The new wall is reportedly constructed with masonry ties, control joints, and weeps.
- 13. The existing window systems are constructed of 4-inch wide non-thermally broken aluminum framed sliding windows with an integral aluminum sub-sill. Insect screens and storm sliders were observed at some window locations. Window openings incorporate two (2) sliding sashes per rough masonry opening. Pile type weatherstripping exists at the window jambs and is typically aged. The aluminum window assemblies are in poor condition, thermally inefficient, difficult to operate, and typically have evidence of moisture infiltration on the interior finishes surrounding the existing frames.



Hazardous Material Test Results

Gale retained representative samples of the sealants, and building paper that were encountered at the north elevation of Portsmouth City Hall to determine if any hazardous materials are present that may be impacted by the renovations. The results indicated that the precast panel and brick masonry sealant do not contain asbestos.

Testing for materials containing Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB's) was also performed as part of this evaluation. The results indicated that the following materials tested positive for PCB's in a level which is greater than the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s regulated threshold of fifty (50) parts per million:

• Precast panel sealant

Please note that Gale's samples are small in comparison to the overall project. Each of these materials can be properly removed, handled, and disposed of under this project. It is recommended that materials tested and found to be hazardous be removed as hazardous components during the renovations. All of these items can be abated safely if the correct actions are taken during the renovations.

The asbestos and PCB reports can be found in the Appendix of this report.

DESTRUCTIVE TEST CUTS

To aid in determining possible causes for the observed defects, destructive test cuts were required to review the general methods and materials of construction including substrate wall configurations, and material conditions at concealed locations. Gale utilized contractor assistance to perform destructive testing, as well as to repair all openings that were made. It should be noted that the test cuts were performed to reveal a relatively small portion of the overall building, and may, or may not, represent the majority of the building systems' conditions. The critical field conditions have been documented and described within this report.

Please refer to the elevations in the Appendix for specific test cut locations. Refer also to the Appendix for photographs and field sketches obtained from these test cuts for additional information. The following outlines Gale's test cut findings:

• Test Cut No. 1

Test Cut No. 1 was performed on the western end of the north elevation above a second floor window head adjacent to the control joint. This location was selected based on reports and observations that the masonry is experiencing displacement from the backup wall. Therefore, a section of brick masonry (2.5 bricks long by 9 bricks high) was removed exposing the steel lintel, and the following was noted:



- 1. The backup materials at this location appeared to be the face of the concrete spandrel beam of the first floor framing slab. The face of concrete has a sheet applied dampproofing on the surface similar to a kraft paper with asphalt. The dampproofing is aged and brittle.
- 2. A 2-1/2" to 3" cavity space between the exterior brick masonry and face of concrete was observed to have mortar droppings, potentially obstructing moisture from traveling outside of the wall system.
- 3. One (1) galvanized dovetail masonry anchor was observed at the test cut location. The dovetail was set into a slot cast into the concrete backup wall. The dovetail was no longer engaged within the masonry mortar joint as the displacement of the outer wythe had occurred. No other dovetail anchors were noted within the cavity of the wall, therefore, spacing could not be confirmed.
- 4. The header brick of the veneer are false, set to match the pattern of the façade (i.e. header bricks at the test cut location do not extend into the backup wall).
- 5. The steel angle (window lintel) was 6" x 4" and appeared to be continuous along the length of the elevation. The dampproofing laps onto the horizontal leg of the angle to act as a flashing. Non-significant rust was noted at this lintel location. No form of weeps were noted within the masonry joints above the lintel.
- 6. Brick masonry and mortar components were otherwise in satisfactory condition at the test cut location.
- 7. Previous repair attempts in the form of threaded rod, washers and nuts were noted adjacent to the test cut. The threaded rod assembly was not set into the backup wall with any form of adhesive/epoxy and was easily removed by hand.

• Test Cut No. 2

Test Cut No. 2 was performed above Test Cut No. 1, below the sill of a third floor window. This location was selected based on reports and observations that the masonry is experiencing displacement from the backup wall. Therefore, a section of brick masonry (2.5 bricks long by 7 bricks high) was removed, and the following was noted

1. The backup materials at this location are a combination of brick masonry and CMU. The face of the backup wall has a sheet applied dampproofing on the surface similar to a kraft paper with asphalt. The dampproofing is not continuous at the header course and is set into the mortar joint directly below the brick header course.



- 2. The header brick, spaced at every 6th course vertically, is tied into the CMU/brick masonry backup wall. All brick headers exposed by the test cut (three in total) are broken. The break occurs within the cavity of the wall. The gap/cavity between the exterior brick masonry and CMU and masonry backup wall varies from 2-1/2" to 3".
- 3. The aluminum sill above the test cut location is displaced, resulting in a negative slope towards the building interior. The brick is laterally displaced towards the building exterior, 1-1/2" to 2" from the drip edge of the aluminum window sill.

• Test Cut No. 3

Test Cut No. 3 was performed on the brick masonry directly below a precast panel at the third floor. This location was selected based on reports and observations that the masonry is experiencing displacement from the backup wall. Therefore, a section of brick masonry (4 bricks long by 3 bricks high) was removed, and the following was noted

- 1. The exterior brick veneer and precast panel is backed up by CMU inner wythe. The face of backup wall has a sheet applied dampproofing on the surface similar to a kraft paper with asphalt. The dampproofing appears to be continuous at the test cut location.
- 2. The width of the cavity varies between 3-1/2" and 4".
- 3. Evidence of a precast panel anchor was noted at the lower limits of the panel above the test cut opening. The anchor appears to be an inverted "U" steel shape set into the precast unit. There was no form of dowel or steel rod to connect the precast panel into the backup wall at the test cut location.
- 4. One (1) galvanized dovetail masonry anchor was observed at the test cut location. The dovetail was set into a slot cast into the concrete column. The dovetail was no longer engaged within the masonry mortar joint as the displacement of the outer wythe had occurred. No other dovetail anchors were noted within the cavity of the wall, therefore, spacing could not be confirmed.
- 5. Steel shims were noted at the base of the precast panel. The shims are severely corroded resulting in an expansive force of the rusted steel. The horizontal sealant joint at this location has widened and an approximately 1/2" gap between the brick and sealant has occurred.

DISCUSSION

Gale's evaluation of the north elevation of Portsmouth City Hall revealed a variety of conditions. Where displacement of the brick masonry and precast panels was observed, it is apparent that broken headers and inadequate masonry ties are a result of the observed deficiencies.



The exterior wall of this building is constructed in a manner where the inner CMU, concrete columns, and floor slabs are the load bearing components capable of withstanding lateral loads. The exterior brick veneer and precast concrete panels are constructed as non-structural components and must be properly supported to withstand external and internal loads including thermal stresses and moisture freeze/thaw. In the instance of the north elevation, the brick veneer and precast panels are tied to the CMU and concrete backup components with brick headers and/or dovetail anchors which are broken or no longer engaged. Without the headers and anchors for support, the veneer wythe can become unstable and subject to failure.

While it is not possible to determine the exact cause for the failure of the header bricks and masonry ties, it is likely a combination of factors. Thermal stresses in the brick veneer are concentrated around window openings, along with discontinuities resulting in differential stresses. These stresses along with moisture infiltration through failed building sealants, at window perimeters, inadequate flashings/lack of end dams at window heads, and no weeps for moisture resulting in freeze/thaw damage and lateral displacement of the veneer over time.

The type of construction utilized for the exterior walls is defective for a number of reasons. Brick and CMU respond differently to environmental conditions. When clay brick masonry is exposed to moisture it swells, shrinking as it dries. CMU do not respond in this manner. Due to this condition, the cyclical wetting and drying of the brick veneer would induce stresses in the brick headers that rigidly connect the veneer into the CMU wythes. In addition, the two wythes respond differently to thermal changes. CMU expands at 1-1/2 times the rate of brick masonry over the same temperature range. This also induces stresses in the headers as the temperature of the two wythes varies through the seasons. This condition is exacerbated at the thermal extremes such as when the brick veneer is heated by the solar radiation whereas the CMU wythe is tempered by the climate controlled interior of the building along with the air cavity between the brick and CMU.

In a typical cavity wall configuration, the thermal movement of the masonry wall is managed through the inclusion of control joints. Control joints in veneer walls are joints where the brick veneer is terminated at a predetermined location. The veneer is terminated to create an opening between wall sections often times of a soft joint. The width of this opening is determined based upon the anticipated movement within the veneer assembly. The resulting cavity between the masonry is in turn filled with a preformed expansion joint material or with backer rod and sealant. This flexible soft joint permits the sections of the exterior veneer to move under thermal changes or due to swelling without inducing excessive stress on the backup wall. On the north elevation, a control joint can be seen approximately 5 feet from the west corner of the building. The size and spacing of these joints are typically chosen based upon site conditions and the layout of each elevation. However, as a rule, such joints are typically recommended at a spacing of no more than 40-feet.



Due to all of these movement conditions, the construction methodology recommended by the Brick Industry of America (BIA) is to utilize flexible wall ties for the attachment of the brick veneer to the backup CMU wall. This connection is typically obtained in new construction through the use of horizontal wire reinforcing within the bed joints of the CMU wall. This reinforcing either contains rectangular ties that project into the brick veneer or alternatively horizontal reinforcing with eyes hoops formed in to the reinforcing. These eyes accept wire pintles that are installed into the brick veneer. In existing construction, anchorage of the brick veneer can be achieved through the use of brick ties that are mechanically fastened to the CMU backup wall and built into the veneer wythe. In the situation at Portsmouth City Hall where both inner and outer wythes are in place, expanding mechanical fasteners are available that are drilled through the brick mortar joints into the backup CMU or concrete. The fastener is then expanded by tightening to the CMU and to the brick. The limitations to this system are the long term durability of such a repair and the dependence upon the quality of both the backup wall and exterior brick masonry. Field mock up testing of such a repair method would be required to confirm anchor capacity.

To exacerbate the movement issues noted above, the brick veneer wall was found to be lacking weep joints. Weeps are open head joints between bricks at a regular spacing to permit the drainage of moisture from the wall cavity between the veneer and backup wall. BIA recommends that weep holes be provided at 24-inches on center. Typically, weep holes should be present at horizontal breaks in the vertical cavity space such as at window lintels, relieving angles and at the base of the wall as it rests upon the foundation wall. The absence of these weep joints results in the potential for moisture to be absorbed by the brick veneer and then be trapped within the cavity. Additionally, test cuts performed above the window lintel confirmed that dampproofing is utilized as the main flashing material and does not incorporate end dams to redirect moisture out of the wall cavity. Since there is no direct path to drain the absorbed moisture, the accumulation of moisture in winter months raises the potential for entrapped moisture to freeze. In instances such as this the freezing water expands and potentially induces additional stress on the header brick and may result in displacement of the veneer components.

Additionally, the aluminum framed window systems are in poor condition, thermally inefficient and allow air and moisture infiltration into the building due to the deteriorated condition of perimeter sealants and lack of sill pan flashings.

The aluminum window units are single glazed and do not have thermally broken frames or sashes. The greatest disadvantage of aluminum is its high thermal conductivity as it readily conducts heat and cold through the frame system reducing its thermal performance. This can also cause condensation or frost to occur on the inside of the window frame when extreme changes in temperature occur between the conditioned interior space and the exterior air. The operability of the slider windows is also difficult at the majority of the locations on the north elevation. Over time, the weatherstrippings and tracks accumulate dirt and debris and no longer seal properly, allowing for additional air infiltration.



The window sealants at the perimeter of the aluminum windows are aged and deteriorated and should be replaced. Large gaps between the masonry or precast concrete window opening and aluminum frame were noted at various locations. Deteriorated sealants allow for air and moisture infiltration around the window frame which will lead to a decreased thermal performance of the window unit or moisture damage to interior finishes.

Typically window systems have a service life of between 20 to 30 years depending on the type of system, frequency of use and exposure to weather. The current windows are in excess of 30 years. Therefore, due to the poor thermal performance of the frames and deterioration of the weather-stripping and perimeter sealants, it is recommended that these systems be replaced.

RECOMMENDATIONS

As described above, the extensive amount of broken headers will require extensive repair or replacement of the masonry veneer. Because of the amount of broken headers and displacement of the masonry and precast components, Gale recommends full replacement of the brick masonry/precast panel veneer on the north elevation.

In reconstructing the exterior veneer, proper through-wall flashings, weeps, backup wall dampproofing/protection and veneer construction joints should be incorporated into the new veneer. The new veneer should not utilize brick headers as a method of attachment, but should instead use metal wire ties mechanically fastened to the face of the CMU or concrete backup wall. By utilizing appropriately designed ties within the wall system, the brick veneer will be permitted to respond to internal and external loads and isolate the internal stresses within the veneer while also transmitting applied loads to the backup wall. During the veneer reconstruction, installation of a proper moisture barrier should be performed as the currently installed damp proofing and flashing is an incomplete and unreliable barrier for moisture intrusion into the interior of the building.

Portions of the north elevation, particularly at the west end were found to have more advanced displacement of the veneer components. Should Portsmouth elect to perform a short term solution in the near future while exploring the veneer reconstruction described above, metal expansion anchors could be set into the wall system to tie the brick veneer and precast panels to the backup wall. The size and spacing of the anchors should be chosen based upon in field pull tests to determine the ultimate in place capacity of the anchors.

Gale also recommends that the existing window units be replaced with insulated doubleglazed, thermally broken aluminum window systems. Portsmouth may consider various operability types. Typically Gale recommends double hung, or project-in operable units with exterior insect screens. The new windows can be horizontally and/or vertically divided into several sections with one or more operable units at the bottom portions or near the window head. Various types of configurations of fixed lites, and operable units can be selected and considered by Portsmouth.



In conjunction with the new window systems, it is recommended that new sheet metal pan flashing systems be used that will turn up behind and on the sides of the window frames. The flashings will direct moisture within the frames to the exterior of the building. The flashings are installed in mastic and continuously back sealed to reduce the potential of wind-blown moisture and air leakage.

ENGINEERING COST ESTIMATE

Gale's preliminary engineering cost estimate was compiled from various sources of information and is based on our experience with projects similar in size and complexity to Portsmouth City Hall. This estimate is considered to be preliminary at best, as the actual cost depends upon the construction schedule, scope of work and construction details that have not been fully defined. These estimates are intended as budgets to give Portsmouth an indication as to what construction costs may be, given the existing conditions and Gale's recommendations. Note that these estimates are based on cost of materials and labor in the year 2014. The project cost may increase or decrease at the actual time of construction due to the fluctuation in various material costs and inflation.

Please be reminded that these costs are specific to the exterior envelope, north elevation only, and do not include renovations associated with interior, administrative costs or engineering design fees at this time. Gale does not recommend utilizing these estimates for sensitive funding. Gale has included a twenty percent (20%) contingency within the recommended construction budget cost in the event that unforeseen conditions are encountered at the site

Recommended Scope of Work:

The following recommendations are based on Gale's research, the conditions observed in the field and our experience in exterior building envelope renovations. Representatives of Portsmouth have indicated that funding may be of concern, and therefore, Portsmouth has requested that the recommendations be prioritized so that repairs can be selected based on funding. Note that partial repairs of the building envelope will not prevent or reduce moisture infiltration that may occur through other portions of the building.

Note that the recommendations have been prioritized based on defective building components that appear to be associated with life safety, and active moisture infiltration to the interior of the building. Priority repair items are divided into Immediate Recommendations: 0-3 Years (items associated with life safety), Short Term Recommendations: 3-5 Years, Long Term Recommendations: 5-10 Years.

Based on the available funding, the recommended repairs are listed in order of priority to reduce the potential for moisture intrusion at the site. Also note that the repairs noted below are specific to the north elevation only:



Immediate Recommendations: 0-3 Years

Long Term Recommendations: 5-10 Years*

*For budgetary purposes, detailing, and installation logistics it is recommended that window replacement occur in conjunction with the scope of work associated with the Long Term Recommendations. Gale does not recommend performing window replacement should the Immediate/Short Term Recommendations be considered in preparation and prior to Long Term Recommendations.

**Note that the costs presented above do not include hazardous material removal and disposal as the scope of work and construction details have not been fully defined.

 $I:\828490\01\ Evaluation\report\828490\ Portsmouth\ City\ Hall\ North\ Facade\ Evaluation\ 2014\ 1217.docx$



APPENDIX A

Cost Estimates

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE											
Summary Sheet											
Job Number: 828490											
Estimated/checked by	y: kam/srm										
		File Name: i:\82849	0\excel\828490 cost estim	ate.xls							
	10% Overhead										
	and Profit	Subtotal	20% Contingency	Subtotal							
\$6,700	\$670.0	\$7,370	\$1,474	\$8,844							
\$131,162	\$13,116.20	\$144,278	\$28,856	\$173,134							
\$659,558	\$65,955.75	\$725,513	\$145,103	\$870,616							
	Job Number: 828490 Estimated/checked b \$6,700 \$131,162	Summary Sh Job Number: 828490 Estimated/checked by: kam/srm 2000 10% Overhead and Profit 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	Summary Sheet Job Number: 828490 Estimated/checked by: kam/srm Estimated/checked by: kam/srm File Name: i:\82849 Io% Overhead and Profit Subtotal Subtotal S6,700 \$670.0 \$131,162 \$13,116.20	Summary Sheet Job Number: 828490 Image: 1 Image: 1 <th i<="" td=""><td>Summary SheetJob Number: 828490<!--</td--><td>Summary SheetJob Number: 828490IIIEstimated/checked by: kam/srmIIIImage: I:\828490 cost estimate.xlsImage: I:\828490 cost estimate.xlsImage: Image: I</td></td></th>	<td>Summary SheetJob Number: 828490<!--</td--><td>Summary SheetJob Number: 828490IIIEstimated/checked by: kam/srmIIIImage: I:\828490 cost estimate.xlsImage: I:\828490 cost estimate.xlsImage: Image: I</td></td>	Summary SheetJob Number: 828490 </td <td>Summary SheetJob Number: 828490IIIEstimated/checked by: kam/srmIIIImage: I:\828490 cost estimate.xlsImage: I:\828490 cost estimate.xlsImage: Image: I</td>	Summary SheetJob Number: 828490IIIEstimated/checked by: kam/srmIIIImage: I:\828490 cost estimate.xlsImage: I:\828490 cost estimate.xlsImage: Image: I				

	PRELIM	INARY C	OST EST	IMATE				
Imr	nediate R	lecomme	ndations	: 0-3 Yea	ſS			
Date: August 5, 2014	Job Numb	er: 828490						
Project: North Façade Evaluation	Estimated/	checked by	: kam/srm					
Location: Portsmouth, NH			File Name: i:\8	828490\excel\8	328490 cost est	imate.xls		
Item Description	Qua	Intity	Materi	al Cost	Labo	or Cost	Engineer	ing Estimate
	Number	Unit	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost	Total
General Conditions								
Lift Rental	1	week	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,200
Total General Conditions								\$1,200
Masonry Repairs								
Install mechanical tie anchors at displaced brick and								
precast	250	square feet	\$4.00	\$1,000.00	\$18.00	\$4,500.00	\$22.00	\$5,500
Total Masonry Repairs								\$5,500
Subtotal								\$6,700

	PRELIM	INARY C	OST EST	IMATE				
Sho	ort Term F	Recomme	endations	s: 3-5 Yea	rs			
Date: August 5, 2014	Job Numb	er: 828490						
Project: North Façade Evaluation	Estimated/	checked by	: kam/srm					
Location: Portsmouth, NH			File Name: i:\	828490\excel\8	28490 cost est	imate.xls		
Item Description	Qua	Intity	Materi	al Cost	Labo	or Cost	Engineer	ing Estimate
	Number	Unit	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost	Total
General Conditions								
Lift Rental	4	week	\$1,200.00	\$4,800.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$4,800
Total General Conditions								\$4,800
Masonry Repairs								
Install mechanical tie anchors to entire veneer	3,910	square feet	\$4.00	\$15,640.00	\$18.00	\$70,380.00	\$22.00	\$86,020
Replace Masonry Units	105	units	\$5.00	\$525.00	\$55.00	\$5,775.00	\$60.00	\$6,300
Repoint Deteriorated Mortar (SF)	20	square feet	\$4.00	\$80.00	\$29.00	\$580.00	\$33.00	\$660
Repoint Deteriorated Mortar (LF)	175	linear feet	\$1.20	\$210.00	\$10.00	\$1,750.00	\$11.20	\$1,960
Total Masonry Repairs								\$94,940
Building Sealants								
Remove and replace window perimeter sealants	1,064	linear feet	\$3.00	\$3,192.00	\$7.50	\$7,980.00	\$10.50	\$11,172
Remove and replace masonry control joints and precast								
panel sealants	900	linear feet	\$7.50	\$6,750.00	\$15.00	\$13,500.00	\$22.50	\$20,250
Total Perimeter Sealants								\$31,422
Subtotal								\$131,162

	PRELIM	INARY C	OST EST	IMATE				
Long	g Term R	ecomme	ndations:	: 5-10 Yea	rs			
Date: August 5, 2014	Job Numbe	er: 828490						
Project: North Façade Evaluation	Estimated/	checked by	: kam/srm					
Location: Portsmouth, NH			File Name: i:\	828490\excel\8	28490 cost es	timate.xls		
Item Description	Qua	ntity	Materi	al Cost	Labo	or Cost	Engineer	ing Estimate
	Number	Unit	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost	Total
General Conditions								
Mobilization	1	lump sum	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$60,000.00	\$60,000
Dumpster	6	each	\$1,100.00	\$6,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,100.00	\$6,600
Pipe Staging	6,400	square feet	\$3.00	\$19,200.00	\$1.50	\$9,600.00	\$4.50	\$28,800
Temporary Protection (roof, doorways etc.)	1	lump sum	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,200.00	\$2,200.00	\$2,200.00	\$2,200
Total General Conditions								\$97,600
Masonry Repairs								
Rebuild exterior wythe of masonry	3,910	square feet	\$15.00	\$58,650.00	\$82.00	\$320,620.00	\$97.00	\$379,270
Scrape, prime and paint rusted lintel	25	linear feet	\$6.50	\$162.50	\$24.00	\$600.00	\$30.50	\$763
Throughwall flashings	660	linear feet	\$22.00	\$14,520.00	\$56.00	\$36,960.00	\$78.00	\$51,480
Masonry control joints	140	linear feet	\$7.50	\$1,050.00	\$15.00	\$2,100.00	\$22.50	\$3,150
Total Masonry Repairs								\$434,663
Windows								
Aluminum Windows	1,120	square feet	\$65.00	\$72,800.00	\$20.00	\$22,400.00	\$85.00	\$95,200
Operable Aluminum Windows	56	each	\$85.00	\$4,760.00	\$18.00	\$1,008.00	\$103.00	\$5,768
Screens (at Operable Units)	56	each	\$135.00	\$7,560.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$135.00	\$7,560
Sill Pan Flashings	310	linear feet	\$16.00	\$4,960.00	\$8.50	\$2,635.00	\$24.50	\$7,595
Window Perimeter Sealants	1,064	linear feet	\$3.00	\$3,192.00	\$7.50	\$7,980.00	\$10.50	\$11,172
Total Windows								\$127,295
Subtotal								\$659,558



APPENDIX B

Photographic Documentation

PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION

North Façade Evaluation, Portsmouth City Hall Portsmouth, NH Gale JN 828490



1. View of Portsmouth City Hall, north elevation. The building façade is comprised of brick masonry and precast concrete panel veneer.



2. Masonry and precast veneer was observed to be displaced at the west end of the north elevation. Three (3) test cuts were performed within the boxed area.

PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION North Façade Evaluation, Portsmouth City Hall Portsmouth, NH Gale JN 828490



3. View of Test Cut No. 1 above a window lintel. Note the brittle and aged dampproofing. Arrow indicates a previous repair attempt with a threaded rod set into the backup wall without epoxy or adhesive.



4. View of Test Cut No. 2 below a window sill. Note the discontinuous dampproofing. Arrow indicates broken header from the backup wall to the masonry veneer.

PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION

North Façade Evaluation, Portsmouth City Hall Portsmouth, NH Gale JN 828490



5. The brick masonry and precast panel adjacent to Test Cut No. 2 is displaced from the plane of the wall (and edge of window sill) by approximately 2 inches. Note large gap between precast panel and window jamb. Evidence of moisture infiltration was typically noted on the interior of the window jambs and sills.



6. View of Test Cut No. 3 below a precast panel. One clip was noted on the interior face of the precast panel, however, the clip was not tied/secured into the backup wall.

PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION North Façade Evaluation, Portsmouth City Hall Portsmouth, NH Gale JN 828490



7. Separation of the sealant joint between the precast panels and brick masonry. Building sealants throughout the north façade are typically failed.



8. Vertical step cracking below a window opening (left) and horizontal cracking of a mortar joint which appears correlate to the floor slab (right).

PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION

North Façade Evaluation, Portsmouth City Hall Portsmouth, NH Gale JN 828490



9. Precast panel ribs extend above the roofline on the east side of the north elevation. The existing configuration of the roof fascia onto the precast panel may result in accumulation of water in the gap between the panel and sheet metal.



10. Precast panel spall along the aluminum window jamb. It appears that small portions of precast panel have been replace

I:\828490\01 Evaluation\report\Appendix B - Photodoc\828490 photodoc.docx



APPENDIX C

Test Cut Sketches



GALE ASSOCIATES, INC. 163 Libbey Parkway P.O. Box 890189 Weymouth, MA 02189-0004 Phone: (781) 335-6465 Fax: (781) 335-6467

828490- JOB POLITEMOUTH UTY	HALL
SHEET NO.	OF. <u>C</u>
CALCULATED BY KAM	DATE 23/14
CHECKED BY_SRM	DATE 8/0114
SCALE_3'=1-0"	

DUTES DEVELOPED REL ENCLUP VALL POLY FUL DUX MOR REL ENCLUP VALL TREEDED ROD THE TOD FROM IN TRALE HARE (FREMING) BY HEND MINOR SUPERIC RUST ON UNTEL MINOR SUPERIC RUST ON UNTEL MINOR SUPERIC RUST ON UNTEL COURTER SENTREL RATURD DEPOSITOR OF THE ENVIRON COURTER SENTREL RATURD SENTRE SENTRE COURTER SENTRE COURTER SENTREL RATURD SENTRE COURTER SENTRE COURTER SENTRE CO	 _		P. MARCHANCED
CONCRETE SPAND REL BRUUP WALL TREEDED ROS THE TIND EPONY IN TRALED HOLE GREADED BY HOND MINURE SURFACE RUST ON LINTEL TID BRUCK HEIGDERS @ CUT IND OTHER TIES NOTED CONCRETE SPANDREL BAKENP DONETRIL PANCHOR DONETRIL PANCHOR A 12 2007 SCT IN CONCRE A 12 2007 SCT IN CONCRE A 12 2007 SCT IN CONCRE A 12 2007 SCT IN CONCRE A 12 2007 SCT IN CONTRE A 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	 1007Po .		<u>e - 133495 perces - 5 per</u>
THE PLOD BOD THE TIND GROWY IN DRULED HOLE & REMARD BY HOUD MINDE SURFACE RUST ON LINTEL THO BRYL HEPDERS @ OUT INDI OTHER THES NOTED COURETE SANDEL BRYLIP DONETRU ENVERT DONETRU ENVERT DONETRU ENVERT A IK A	 		
DUNDEL SURFACE PUST ON LINTEL TNO PRIVE HEADERS & OUT INS OTHER THES NOTED CONCRETE SPRITTEL PARTIER AND	 	CONSIGTE SPAND KEL BHUELP VALUE	THE CLEENNED BY HEND
A CONTROL MEMORIES & OUT, NON OTHER THES NOTED	 	THREADED ROLLE TING FROM IN DIELLE	
Conjunctor Sentition Conjunctor Sentition	 	MINOR SURFACE PUST ON CIVIEL	NGO THE INTED
CALLUP DAMPRICOCINSO DAMPRICOCINSO DOVETRIL ANNANCK/ SLOT SCT IN CONCER A 1/2 BOLICK MASONICY VENSO A 1/2 BOLICK MASONICY VENSO BOLICK MASONICY MASONICY VENSO BOLICK MASONICY MASONICY VENSO BOLICK MASONICY MASONICY	 	NO BICKOL THEHLEICS IS CUT JUND OF	
BRICKLYP DAMORICCEUNS DONETRIL ANCHOR/ SLOT SET IN CONFER A 1/2 3" BRICK MASSING VENS A 1/2 3" BRICK MASSING VENS A 1/2 3" CONTEL TP CONTEL (MUCC RU CONTEL (MUCC RU	 		- CALIDETZ SORLITRE
A A IK SLOT SET IN CONFER A A IK SLOT SET IN CONFER A 2223" - BRICK MASONRY VENES A 2223" - CON 44X 3/8 CONTINU UNTEL (MUCC RU - CON 44X 3/8 CONTINU UNTEL (MUCC RU - CON 44X 3/8 CONTINU UNTEL (MUCC RU - CON 44X 3/8 CONTINU - CON 44X 3/8 CONTINUE -			BACKUP
DOVETRIL ANUMORY A A IK SLOT SET IN CONFER A	 		than BOUNT IN NO
A A 12 SEAVANT (FAILED)	 		Unit (PPECO-SLE)
A A K SLOT SET IN CONCRET A 2223" - BOUCK MASONRY VENER A 2223" - BOUCK MASONRY VENER A 3" STEEL TE - LOK 4X 3/8 CONTINN LINTEL (MINOR RU - SEAUANT (FAIL ED)	 		TAVETON ONVHOR!
BRICK MASSNRY VENSO A 3243" BRICK MASSNRY VENSO BRICK MASSNRY BRICK MASSNR	 		
A 245 3ª STEEL TE 1000 44 3/8 CONTINU LINTEL (MILOS RU 1000 RU	 		SLOI SLI 10 COURS
A 245 3ª STEEL TE 24 3ª STEEL TE 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	 		NOUV MARNON VOILE
Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	 		, Digital Mittanicy VENCE
Clarty 3/8 continue Lintel (Miloce Ru Lintel (Miloce Ru Lintel Continue Lintel	 		
Clarty 3/8 continue LINTEL (MILLO2 RU LINTEL (MI	 		n and TD
LINTEL (MILLOC RU LINTEL (MILL	 		CONDEL IF
LINTEL (MILLOC RU LINTEL (MILL	 		() IN 3/2 CONSTINUE
Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	 		
Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	 		
SEAVANT (FAILED)	 		
SEAVANT (FAILED)	 		
SEALANT (FAILED)	 		
SEAVANT (FAILED)	 		
LI L	 		
	 	HI HI LI LEAP HI COM	MODINI M WINDON

PRODUCT 204-1 (Single Sheets) 205-1 (Padded)

•

		B2B490- JOB PORTSMOUGH		
GALE	GALE ASSOCIATES, INC. 163 Libbey Parkway			OF
	P.O. Box 890189 Weymouth, MA 02189-0004	CALCULATED BY		DATE 7/23/14
	Phone: (781) 335-6465 Fax: (781) 335-6467	CHECKED BY_SRM		DATE 8/6/14
		SCALE 3"=1'-0"		
TC#2 - @1	Lig adata			
10-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20			A	DAIN MUUMUL
· E(x) x = 73/4.	× 31/2× 21/4			
· SNYPPED HE			<u>,</u>	
POUTER COURSE		NEG. SLOPE .		
	M SIEL			
e				
Demerkaden	xs stops			
D 144046 C				
	EN HEFDER			
PROKE				
BLOKE				
BLOXE				
BLOKE				
BEOKE				
BLOKE , '				
Image: Sector				Image: sector
Image: select				
Image: Sector				Image: section of the section of t
MU/Balck MR				
Image: Sector				
M / Back Ma	DUXY BAYUP			
M / Back Ma				
M / Back Ma	DUXY BAYUP			
AU / Barck MR	DUXY BAYUP			

			ловД	2849	POUT	77- (TTY	HA	16			
	SSOCIATES, INC. B Libbey Parkway		SHEET NO.	,				OF.	3			
P.	0. Box 890189 Juth, MA 02189-0004			D BY X	7-00			DA1	E 71	23	114	,
Phon	e: (781) 335-6465 (781) 335-6467		CALCULATE	v 52	M			DAT	8/6	0/14		
Γdλ.	(781) 333-0407		SCALE 3	"=1!	- 0 "							
			SUALE									
TC#3-BOTTOM OF F	RECHSTIPANE	4 June 1										
									-			
· PRECHST SHIM-SE	VERE CORROS	I DN										
······································			-									
CMU BACKLEP												
PRELAST PANEL												
(DISPLACED = 11/2")		\mathbf{N}		1	\mathbb{N}							
		X)		>								
ANCHOR CAST INTO PANEL												
(NO THE INTO PACY UP WAL				\mathbb{N}								
CND THE INTO PACUP VIMI				- î								
		7					<u> </u>					
STEEL SHIM - 3" WIDE -	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	8	Ч″									
(LORED)		\mathbf{k}	<u> </u>	->	·····							
					<u>`\</u>							
		IF										
	124		-		``	<u>`</u>						
				-		/	/					
. ~						×						
		11-5-5 11-5-		>			/					
DAMPPEOOFING			V			$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$						
(AGED/BETTLE)			1									
					<u> </u>							
			+									
		- Josef J			$-\lambda$							
		<u> </u>			2							
WIRE TYPE BRUCE TE-	7. eesent aan de 2015 aan de 1995 aan d					<u></u>						
NOT IN MORTAR JOINT												
OF VENEERS												
			1									

PRODUCT 204-1 (Single Sheets) 205-1 (Padded)



APPENDIX D

Hazardous Materials Test Results



EMSL Analytical, Inc. 200 Route 130 North, Cinnaminson, NJ 08077 (800) 220-3675 / (856) 786-5974 Phone/Fax: http://www.EMSL.com cinnasblab@EMSL.com EMSL Order: CustomerID: CustomerPO: ProjectID:

Attn:	ΚΑΤΙΕ ΜΑΤΑΤΗΙΑ	Phone:	(781) 335-6465
	Gale Associates, Inc.	Fax:	
	163 Libbey Industrial Parkway	Received:	08/07/14 3:05 PM
		Analysis Date:	8/13/2014
	Weymouth, MA 02189	Collected:	7/23/2014

Project: Portsmouth City Hall

Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Non-Friable Organically Bound Materials by PLM via EPA 600/R-93/116 section 2.3

SAMPLE ID	DESCRIPTION	APPEARANCE	% MATRIX MATERIAL	% NON-ASBESTOS FIBERS	ASBESTOS TYPES
1 041422864-0001	- Dampproofing @ TC #1	Brown/Black Fibrous Homogeneous	100	None	No Asbestos Detected
2 041422864-0002	- Brick Control Joint Sealant	Gray/Red Non-Fibrous Homogeneous	100	None	No Asbestos Detected
3 041422864-0003	- Precast Panel Sealant	Gray Non-Fibrous Homogeneous	100	None	No Asbestos Detected

Analyst(s)

Thomas Schwab (3)

Stephen Siegel, CIH, Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Non-friable organically bound materials present a problem matrix and therefore EMSL recommends gravimetric reduction prior to analysis. This report contains data that is (are) not covered by the NVLAP accreditation. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. Unless requested by the client, building materials manufactured with multiple layers (i.e. linoleum, wallboard, etc.) are reported as a single sample. Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Cinnaminson, NJ NYS ELAP 10872, PA ID# 68-00367

Initial report from 08/14/2014 06:37:43



KATIE MATATHIA Gale Associates, Inc. 163 Libbey Industrial Parkway Weymouth, MA 02189

Phone: (781) 335-6465 Fax:

The following analytical report covers the analysis performed on samples submitted to EMSL Analytical, Inc. on 8/7/2014. The results are tabulated on the attached data pages for the following client designated project:

Portsmouth City Hall

The reference number for these samples is EMSL Order #011404169. Please use this reference when calling about these samples. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (856) 303-2500.

Reviewed and Approved By:

Julie Smith - Laboratory Director



The test results contained within this report meet the requirements of NELAP and/or the specific certification program that is applicable, unless otherwise noted. NELAP Certifications: NJ 03036, NY 10872, PA 68-00367

EMSL Analytical does not hold SHW certification in the state of New Hampshire.

The samples associated with this report were received in good condition unless otherwise noted. This report relates only to those items tested as received by the laboratory. The QC data associated with the sample results meet the recovery and precision requirements established by the NELAP, unless specifically indicated. All results for soil samples are reported on a dry weight basis, unless otherwise noted. This report may not be reproduced except in full and without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc.

8/14/2014

EMSL
SM

Attn: **KATIE MATATHIA** Gale Associates, Inc. **163 Libbey Industrial Parkway** Weymouth, MA 02189

Fax:

GALA25 14002-828490

Phone: (781) 335-6465 Received: 08/07/14 3:05 PM

Project: Portsmouth City Hall

Analysis Date Analys 8/14/2014 EH 8/14/2014 EH 8/14/2014 EH 8/14/2014 EH
Date Analys 8/14/2014 EH 8/14/2014 EH 8/14/2014 EH
8/14/2014 EH 8/14/2014 EH
8/14/2014 EH
3/14/2014 EH
3/14/2014 LII
8/14/2014 EH
002
Analysis Date Analys
8/14/2014 EH
8/14/2014 EH

Definitions:

ND - indicates that the analyte was not detected at the reporting limit

RL - Reporting Limit



APPENDIX E

Reduced Elevations



	Ξ			_	NOT TO SCA	KEY PL			1007 F. 100		
	×			NDICATOR	LE LECEND:	AN	TICHT TO PORTA	2.0	ELEV 3210	C FIN SDE BASEMENT FL C FIN SDE BASEMENT FL	
					CHEC		NO.			PROJECT PROJECT	Gale A
		NORTH ELEVATION	SHEET	MNG SCALE GRAPHIC	XED BY	GNED BY	DATE D			PROJECT OWNER OWNER CITY OF PORTSMOUTH PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT	issociates, Inc.
	2		TILE	AUG. 3/16": 8' 8'	SRM	828490 KAM	ESCRIP 82849			Children Contraction Contracti	B
OF 1	A201			11, 2014 =1'-0" 12'		90 A200s	SRIPTION BY			CITY OF PORTSMOUTH PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 680 PEVERLY HILL ROAD PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03801	