

ADDENDUM NUMBER 5:  
**Bid 12-14**  
**SAGAMORE CREEK BRIDGE REPLACEMENT**  
August 22, 2013

This Addendum forms part of the original document marked: **SAGAMORE CREEK BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, Bid #12-14.**

1. CONTRACTOR QUESTIONS:

The following questions have been asked by Contractors, with *responses in italics*:

- Please reference the following Items on the bid form: 693, 699, and 1010.41. These items do not have a quantity just a \$ sign. Are we to include a unit price for these or a lump sum price. It is unclear to me exactly what is required to be filled out for these items. Please clarify.

*All contractors are to include the dollar values provided in the bid tab for the \$ items as a part of their bids as lump sums.*

- Please reference the attachment which references a 6 gage aluminum jacket for the insulation. This is not a typical spec for aluminum jackets. Please confirm this is correct

*The jacket shall have a thickness of 0.016". Revise Note 6 on sheet 41 of 41 to read "PROVIDE 2" URETHANE WATERLINE INSULATION WITH 0.016" THICK ALUMINUM JACKET THROUGHOUT."*

- Ref. Bridge Sht. 23 of 41: Pier Cap reinforcement elevation calls out #8 @12" (each face). The section calls out the apparent save bar as #11 @12" each side face. Which is correct?

*Section X, sheet 23 of 41, the four sideface bars should be changed from #11@12" to #8@12".*

- We have reached out to PSNH to inquire about support of their existing pole that will remain at Retaining Wall #1. PSNH stated that they will be installing supports and guy wires to protect their pole and back charging the contractor, as agreed in a coordination meeting with the City of Portsmouth. Please provide an allowance item to capture these costs.

*Bid Item 670.9 is included for contractors to account for costs to work around the existing utility pole. Contractors may utilize PSNH's services as a part of their work plan, but it is not required, if they meet the requirements of the specification without PSNH's temporary support poles.*

- Is this project a QC/QA project ? If so, are there pay factors built in for QC/QA?

*This project does include Item 1010.41 - QUALITY CONTROL / QUALITY ASSURANCE (QC/QA) FOR CONCRETE. The Item and value are included in the Contract Documents.*

- How is the drilled shaft concrete paid for?

*Per 509.4.2 – Drilled shafts, including excavation and concrete will be measured by the linear foot to the nearest 0.1 of a foot from the plan top of shaft concrete elevation to the rock socket bottom elevation at the center of the shaft.*

- In section 509 1.9.2 you describe disposal of water to be paid for under Item 1009.21. There is no item for this.

*Paragraph 509.1.9.2 has been deleted from the specification.*

- We are working on putting together a bid on the job that bids next week in Portsmouth (Route 1A over Sagamore Creek bridge). We noticed that the Davis-Bacon wage schedule in the proposal lists “Highway” rates which according to the included definition, don’t apply to bridges with marine construction. This project seems to include some marine construction so we’re looking for a clarification that these are the correct wage rates to use. Can you confirm that for us?

*Please replace the “Highway” rates, with the attached “Heavy” rate determination.*

- How is it anticipated to work around the overhead electric lines over Sagamore Creek? I talked with PSNH and they said they could not be covered or deenergized. I don’t see that it is feasible to set G1, among other tasks, with the lines directly overhead.

*The electrical lines have been relocated to their final location. PSNH does not currently have the ability to de-energize the lines within the project area during construction. Therefore, it is the contractor’s responsibility to maintain proper clearances and protection around the active electrical lines during the demolition of the existing and construction of the new bridge.*

- There seem to be dimensions missing for the access grates on the sand filter structure on drawing 11.

*Access grates for the sand filter shall be a minimum 30” diameter cover in accordance with NHDOT Item 604.62. Covers shall be incidental to Item 613.1.*

- Will it be possible to move the access area out from under the north end of the bridge?

*Contractors may propose changes to the wetland plans. These will need to be approved by NHDES in advance of construction.*

- Does the City of Portsmouth have any land in the area that could be made available for storage of materials, etc.?

*The City has no available land in the area that can be used with the project, other the ROW within the limits of the closed portion of the road.*

- I would like to know if it will be allowable to submit our schedule of bid items for Portsmouth #12-14 on an electronically-generated form using the NHDOT standard format, rather than hand-writing the items on the supplied proposal form. I have attached a sample of the NHDOT-formatted bid schedule. The other proposal documents will be those supplied with the bid package.

*Bidders are required to use the Owner supplied forms.*

All else remains unchanged from original bid document.

Please acknowledge receipt of this addendum within your proposal, failure to do so may subject a bidder to disqualification.

End of Addendum #5

General Decision Number: NH130016 03/08/2013 NH16

Superseded General Decision Number: NH20120016

State: New Hampshire

Construction Type: Heavy

County: Rockingham County in New Hampshire.

HEAVY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Modification Number	Publication Date
0	01/04/2013
1	03/08/2013

\* ELEC0490-003 09/01/2012

	Rates	Fringes
ELECTRICIAN.....	\$ 27.00	16.26

-----

SUNH2011-012 02/22/2011

	Rates	Fringes
LABORER: Common or General.....	\$ 17.24	1.54
LABORER: Landscape.....	\$ 15.23	1.81
OPERATOR: Excavator.....	\$ 25.03	5.35
OPERATOR: Loader.....	\$ 24.31	5.69
TRUCK DRIVER.....	\$ 18.17	3.24

-----

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

=====

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).

-----

The body of each wage determination lists the classification and wage rates that have been found to be prevailing for the cited type(s) of construction in the area covered by the wage determination. The classifications are listed in alphabetical order of "identifiers" that indicate whether the particular rate is union or non-union.

Union Identifiers

An identifier enclosed in dotted lines beginning with characters other than "SU" denotes that the union classification and rate have found to be prevailing for that classification. Example: PLUM0198-005 07/01/2011. The first four letters, PLUM, indicate the international union and the four-digit number, 0198, that follows indicates the local union number or district council number where applicable, i.e., Plumbers Local 0198. The next number, 005 in the example, is an internal number used in processing the wage determination. The date, 07/01/2011, following these characters is the effective date of the most current negotiated rate/collective bargaining agreement which would be July 1, 2011 in the above example.

Union prevailing wage rates will be updated to reflect any changes in the collective bargaining agreements governing the rates.

0000/9999: weighted union wage rates will be published annually each January.

Non-Union Identifiers

Classifications listed under an "SU" identifier were derived from survey data by computing average rates and are not union rates; however, the data used in computing these rates may include both union and non-union data. Example: SULA2004-007 5/13/2010. SU indicates the rates are not union majority rates, LA indicates the State of Louisiana; 2004 is the year of the survey; and 007 is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. A 1993 or later date, 5/13/2010, indicates the classifications and rates under that identifier were issued as a General Wage Determination on that date.

Survey wage rates will remain in effect and will not change until a new survey is conducted.

-----

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- \* an existing published wage determination
- \* a survey underlying a wage determination
- \* a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- \* a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations  
Wage and Hour Division  
U.S. Department of Labor

200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====  
END OF GENERAL DECISION