

Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

#### ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

May 23, 2023

635 Sagamore Development, LLC 3612 Lafayette Rd Dept 4 Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

#### RE: Board of Adjustment request for property located at 635 Sagamore Avenue (LU-22-209)

Dear Property Owner:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, May 16**, **2023**, considered your application for the removal of existing structures and constructing 4 single family dwellings which requires the following: 1) A Variance from Section 10.513 to allow four free-standing dwellings where one is permitted. 2) A Variance from Section 10.521 to allow a lot area per dwelling unit of 21,198 square feet per dwelling where 43,560 square feet is required. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 222 Lot 19 and lies within the Single Residence A (SRA) District. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to **deny** the request initially because the proposed plan did not meet the hardship criteria. This motion failed. The Board then voted to **approve** the variances for the project as presented with the following **condition:** 

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Very truly yours,

Phyllis Eldridge

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

CC:

Joseph Coronati, Jones & Beach R. Timothy Phoenix, Hoefle, Phoenix, Gormley & Roberts, PLLC

Date: <u>5-16-2023</u>

Property Address: <u>635 Sagamore Avenue</u>

Application #: LU-22-209

Decision: Grant

### Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation Criteria	<b>Finding</b> (Meets Criteria)	Relevant Facts
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.	YES	• Having more conforming structures on the parcel is much better than the existing condition.
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.	YES	<ul> <li>The SRA zone limits one dwelling unit per acre, and the applicant is asking for four units on nearly two acres, which would be directly across the street, the SRB zone.</li> <li>Comparing the four dwelling units at 21,200 square feet per unit to Tidewatch's 122 units at 19,300 square feet per unit, the project would be less dense.</li> </ul>
10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.	YES	• The project would have no effect on anything across the street or at Tidewatch because one wouldn't even see the properties.

10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.	YES	<ul> <li>The project would have no effect on anything across the street or at Tidewatch because one wouldn't even see the properties.</li> <li>The project would not alter the essential characteristics of the neighborhood because the large lot could not reasonably be subdivided based on its irregular shape and street frontage.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship.</li> <li>(a)The property has special Conditions that distinguish it from other properties in the area. AND</li> <li>(b)Owing to these special conditions, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and the proposed use is a reasonable one. OR</li> <li>Owing to these special conditions, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.</li> </ul>	YES	<ul> <li>The property has special conditions of being an oversized lot for the area as well as an angled and elevated one, and only so much of it is usable.</li> <li>Limiting the lot to a single-family home would be a hardship and four single-family units on nearly two acres was a more than reasonable use and a huge improvement to the existing property.</li> </ul>

## Stipulations

1. The design and location of the dwellings may change as a result of Planning Board review and approval.



Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

#### ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

May 23, 2023

Jared J Saulnier 4 Sylvester Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

#### RE: Board of Adjustment request for property located at 4 Sylvester Street (LU-23-27)

Dear Property Owner:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, May 16**, **2023**, considered your application for subdividing one lot into two lots which requires the following: Proposed Lot 1: 1) Variances from Section 10.521 to allow a) a lot area and lot area per dwelling of 9,645 square feet where 15,000 is required for each; b) 80 feet of lot depth where 100 feet is required; and c) a 9 foot right side yard where 10 feet is required. Proposed Lot 2: 1) Variances from Section 10.521 to allow a) a lot area and lot area per dwelling unit of 6,421 square feet where 15,000 is required for each; b) 40 feet of street frontage where 100 feet is required; and c) 80 feet of lot depth where 100 feet is required. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 232 Lot 36 and lies within the Single Residence B (SRB) District. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to **deny** the application as presented because the request does not observe the spirit of the ordinance by creating 2 undersized lots with inadequate street access.

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Very truly yours,

Phyllis Eldridge

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

CC:

Erik Saari, Altus Engineering, Inc. R. Timothy Phoenix, Hoefle, Phoenix, Gormley & Roberts, PLLC

Date: <u>5-16-2023</u>

Property Address: <u>4 Sylvester Street</u>

Application #: <u>LU-23-27</u>

Decision: **Deny** 

### Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation Criteria	<b>Finding</b> (Meets Criteria)	Relevant Facts
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.		
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.	NO	<ul> <li>Granting the variances will not observe the spirit of the ordinance by changing a conforming single- family lot into two nonconforming lots. The first lot is two-thirds the size with appropriate street frontage and the second lot is a third with less than half of the street frontage.</li> <li>The spirit of the ordinance is to have the lots be as conforming as possible or to get them in conformance.</li> </ul>
10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.		
10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.		

10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship.	
<ul> <li>(a)The property has special Conditions that distinguish it from other properties in the area.</li> <li>AND</li> <li>(b)Owing to these special conditions, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property;</li> </ul>	
OR Owing to these special conditions, the	
property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.	



Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

#### ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

May 23, 2023

Cynthia Austin & Peter Smith 206 Court Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

#### RE: Board of Adjustment request for property located at 9 Kent Street (LU-23-28)

Dear Property Owners:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, May 16**, **2023**, considered your application for demolishing the existing two-family and constructing a single-family dwelling which requires the following: 1) Variances from Section 10.521 to allow a) a lot area and lot area per dwelling of 5,000 square feet where 7,500 square feet is required for each; b) 53% building coverage where 25% is the maximum allowed; c) a 4.5 foot rear yard where 20' is required; d) a 0.5 foot side yard where 10 feet is required; e) a 0 foot front yard where 11 feet is allowed under Section 10.516.10; and f) a 9.5 foot secondary front yard where 13 feet is allowed under Section 10.516.10. 2) A Variance from Section 10.515.14 to allow a 1.5 foot setback for a mechanical unit where 10 feet is required. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 113 Lot 42 and lies within the General Residence A (GRA) District. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to **deny** the request because the expansion of the non-conformities and proposed changes to the existing property do not meet the spirit of the ordinance, substantial justice is not done, and there is no hardship.

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Very truly yours,

Hyllis Eldridge

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

CC:

John Chagnon, Ambit Engineering, Inc. R. Timothy Phoenix, Hoefle Phoenix Gormley & Roberts, PLLC

Date: <u>5-16-2023</u>

Property Address: 9 Kent Street

Application #: <u>LU-23-28</u>

Decision: **Deny** 

### Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation Criteria	<b>Finding</b> (Meets Criteria)	Relevant Facts
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.		
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.		
10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.		
10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.		
10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship.	NO	<ul> <li>It is brand new construction and the applicant could build a new structure in full compliance or</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(a)The property has special Conditions that distinguish it from other properties in the area.</li> <li>AND</li> <li>(b)Owing to these special conditions, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist</li> </ul>		require less relief than requested. All the characteristics presented are negative hardships that make the request relief even more egregious.
between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific		

application of that provision to the property; and the proposed use is a reasonable one. OR Owing to these special conditions, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a	
variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.	



Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

#### ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

May 23, 2023

Angela Davis & Katherine Nolte 276 Aldrich Road Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

#### RE: Board of Adjustment request for property located at 276 Aldrich Road. (LU-23-29)

Dear Property Owners:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, May 16**, **2023**, considered your application for constructing a 5 foot by 4 foot landing which requires the following: 1) Variance from Section 10.521 to allow a) 3 foot secondary front yard where 30 feet is required; and b) 35% building coverage where 20% is allowed. 2) Variance from Section 10.321 to allow a nonconforming building or structure to be extended, reconstructed, or enlarged without conforming to the requirements of the Ordinance. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 116 Lot 14 and lies within the Single Residence B (SRB) District. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to **approve** the request as presented and advertised.

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Any action taken by the applicant pursuant to the Board's decision during this appeal period shall be at the applicant's risk. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

Approvals may also be required from other City Commissions or Boards. Once all required approvals have been received, applicant is responsible for applying for and securing a building permit from the Inspection Department prior to starting any project work.

This approval shall expire unless a building permit is issued within a period of two (2) years from the date granted unless an extension is granted in accordance with Section 10.236 of the Zoning Ordinance.

The Findings of Fact associated with this decision are available: attached here <u>or</u> as an attachment in the Viewpoint project record associated with this application <u>and</u> on the Zoning Board of Adjustment Meeting website:

https://www.cityofportsmouth.com/planportsmouth/zoning-board-adjustment/zoning-board-adjustment-archived-meetings-and-material

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Very truly yours,

Phyllis Eldridge

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

cc: Shanti Wolph, Chief Building Inspector

Rosann Maurice-Lentz, City Assessor

Date: <u>5-16-2023</u>

Property Address: 276 Aldrich Road

Application #: <u>LU-23-29</u>

Decision: Grant

### Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation Criteria	<b>Finding</b> (Meets Criteria)	Relevant Facts
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.	YES	<ul> <li>There is no public interest that militated against the construction of the landing.</li> </ul>
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.	YES	• There is no public interest that militated against the construction of the landing.
10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.	YES	• There will be no loss to the public by the construction of the landing that would outweigh the benefit to the property owner.
10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.	YES	• The landing is hard to see from the road due to the shrubbery and location.

<ul> <li>10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship.</li> <li>(a) The property has special Conditions that distinguish it from other properties in the area. AND</li> <li>(b) Owing to these special conditions, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and the proposed use is a reasonable one. OR</li> <li>Owing to these special conditions, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.</li> </ul>	YES	<ul> <li>The landing is completed, it will be consistent with the character of surrounding properties. Denying the request for variances would be pointless.</li> <li>The unusually large right-of-way on the side of the street is a unique condition of the property and also lessens the effect of the amount of relief being asked for.</li> </ul>
---	-----	--