

Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

April 25, 2023

Murdock Living Trust 36 Garland Road Nottingham, New Hampshire 03290

RE: Board of Adjustment request for property located at 15 Lafayette Road (LU-23-26)

Dear Property Owner:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, April 18, 2023**, considered your application for subdivide one lot into two lots which requires the following: 1) A Variance from Section 10.521 to allow 73.8 feet of continuous street frontage where 100 feet is required for the remainder lot. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 152 Lot 2 and lies within the General Residence A (GRA) and Historic District. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to

approve the request as presented and advertised.

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Any action taken by the applicant pursuant to the Board's decision during this appeal period shall be at the applicant's risk. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

Approvals may also be required from other City Commissions or Boards. Once all required approvals have been received, applicant is responsible for applying for and securing a building permit from the Inspection Department prior to starting any project work.

This approval shall expire unless a building permit is issued within a period of two (2) years from the date granted unless an extension is granted in accordance with Section 10.236 of the Zoning Ordinance.

The Findings of Fact associated with this decision are available: attached here <u>or</u> as an attachment in the Viewpoint project record associated with this application <u>and</u> on the Zoning Board of Adjustment Meeting website:

https://www.cityofportsmouth.com/planportsmouth/zoning-board-adjustment/zoning-board-adjustment-archived-meetings-and-material

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Very truly yours,

- - - 1 1 1

Phyllis Eldridge

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

cc: Shanti Wolph, Chief Building Inspector

Rosann Maurice-Lentz, City Assessor

Date: <u>4-18-2023</u>

Property Address: 15 Lafayette Road

Application #: LU-23-26

Decision: **Grant**

Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation Criteria	Finding (Meets Criteria)	Relevant Facts
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.	YES	The lot that is to be created will be a conforming one, and the public interest would be served by the lot conforming to the zoning ordinance.
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.	YES	The new lot will conform to the ordinance.
10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.	YES	There will be no injustice created by granting the variance by creating a conforming lot.
10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.	YES	There is no evidence in the record that surrounding properity values will be diminished, and having another lot that was conforming will likely only retain if not enhance values around the lot.

		,
10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions		The consequence of the consequen
of the Ordinance would result in an		The property has special conditions of having frontage on two streets
unnecessary hardship.	YES	of having frontage on two streets, so a fair and substantial condition
(a)The property has special Conditions that	1123	does not exist between the general
distinguish it from other properties in the area.		public purpose of the ordinance,
AND		which would be guaranteeing
(b)Owing to these special conditions, a fair		substantial frontage on the already
and substantial relationship does not exist		used portion of the lot for public
between the general public purposes of the		health, safety and welfare and also
Ordinance provision and the specific		aesthetics.
application of that provision to the property;		
and the proposed use is a reasonable one. OR		
Owing to these special conditions, the		
property cannot be reasonably used in strict		
conformance with the Ordinance, and a		
variance is therefore necessary to enable a		
reasonable use of it.		



Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

April 25, 2023

Michael Knight 55 Mangrove Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

RE: Board of Adjustment request for property located at 55 Mangrove Street (LU-23-15)

Dear Property Owner:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, April 18**, **2023**, considered your application for replacing the existing 6 foot chain link fence with an 8 foot cedar fence which requires the following: 1) A Variance from Section 10.515.13 to allow an 8 foot fence on the rear and side lot lines where a 6 foot maximum is allowed. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 219 Lot 7 and lies within the Single Residence B (SRB) District. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to

approve the request as presented and advertised.

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Any action taken by the applicant pursuant to the Board's decision during this appeal period shall be at the applicant's risk. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

Approvals may also be required from other City Commissions or Boards. Once all required approvals have been received, applicant is responsible for applying for and securing a building permit from the Inspection Department prior to starting any project work.

This approval shall expire unless a building permit is issued within a period of two (2) years from the date granted unless an extension is granted in accordance with Section 10.236 of the Zoning Ordinance.

The Findings of Fact associated with this decision are available: attached here <u>or</u> as an attachment in the Viewpoint project record associated with this application <u>and</u> on the Zoning Board of Adjustment Meeting website:

https://www.cityofportsmouth.com/planportsmouth/zoning-board-adjustment/zoning-board-adjustment-archived-meetings-and-material

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Verv trulv vours.

Phyllis Eldridge

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

cc: Shanti Wolph, Chief Building Inspector

Rosann Maurice-Lentz, City Assessor

Date: 4-18-2023

Property Address: 55 Mangrove Street

Application #: LU-23-15

Decision: **Grant**

Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation Criteria	Finding (Meets Criteria)	Relevant Facts
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.	YES	The zoning ordinance used to have no restrictions on fence heights, but that it was tempered with the idea that many properties in Portsmouth were up against neighboring properties and streets and could create their own little gated communities, so the zoning ordinance was changed to try to limit the heights of fences.
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.	YES	The public interest is to prevent high fences from being placed near areas where the public would go by or that would create a hostile view for a close abutting neighboring property.

10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.	YES	There will not be territorial border wars going on with high fences, and the request is reasonable due to all the nearby open areas.
10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.	YES	The condominium association's maintenance building and other nearby property values would not be negatively affected.
10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship. (a)The property has special Conditions that distinguish it from other properties in the area. AND (b)Owing to these special conditions, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and the proposed use is a reasonable one. OR Owing to these special conditions, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.	YES	There are unique factors about the property, including being up against a paper street and a protected piece of property and a generic use of a condo association that distinguished it from other similar properties.



Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

April 25, 2023

Jeffrey & Melissa Foy 67 Ridges Court Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

RE: Board of Adjustment Request for property located at 67 Ridges Court (LU-22-199)

Dear Property Owners:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, April 18, 2023**, considered your application for constructing a 518 square foot garage addition and expansion of front dormer which requires the following: 1) A variance from Section 10.521 to allow a 14 foot front yard where 19 feet is required per Section 10.516.10. 2) A Variance from Section 10.321 to allow a nonconforming building or structure to be extended, reconstructed, or enlarged without conforming to the requirements of the Ordinance. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 207 Lot 59 and lies within the Single Residence B (SRB) District. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to

1) approve the request to rehear based on Fisher v. Dover; and 2) grant the variances for the application as presented and advertised.

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Any action taken by the applicant pursuant to the Board's decision during this appeal period shall be at the applicant's risk. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

Approvals may also be required from other City Commissions or Boards. Once all required approvals have been received, applicant is responsible for applying for and securing a building permit from the Inspection Department prior to starting any project work.

This approval shall expire unless a building permit is issued within a period of two (2) years from the date granted unless an extension is granted in accordance with Section 10.236 of the Zoning Ordinance.

The Findings of Fact associated with this decision are available: attached here <u>or</u> as an attachment in the Viewpoint project record associated with this application <u>and</u> on the Zoning Board of Adjustment Meeting website:

 $\underline{https://www.cityofportsmouth.com/planportsmouth/zoning-board-adjustment/zo$

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Very truly yours,

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

cc: Shanti Wolph, Chief Building Inspector

Rosann Maurice-Lentz, City Assessor

Phyllis Eldridge

R. Timothy Phoenix, Hoefle, Phoenix, Gormley & Roberts, PLLC John Chagnon, Ambit Engineering

Date: 4-18-2023

Property Address: 67 Ridges Court

Application #: LU-22-199

Decision: **Grant**

Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation	Finding	Relevant Facts
Criteria	(Meets Criteria)	
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.	YES	It is a residentially zoned area and the proposed project will continue the use of the property for residential purposes.
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.	YES	It is a residentially zoned area and the proposed project will continue the use of the property for residential purposes.
10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.	YES	No one claimed that there would be a general loss to the public of any kind if the variances were granted, so there will be no loss to the public that would outweigh the benefit to the applicant.

10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.	YES	The board had contrary evidence presented by those for and against the proposal, and in weighing the facts and hearing the feedback from the tax assessment perspective, they didn't feel that there was adequate evidence to support the idea that the surrounding properties would be diminished in value should this variance be approved.
10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship. (a) The property has special Conditions that distinguish it from other properties in the area. AND (b) Owing to these special conditions, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and the proposed use is a reasonable one. OR Owing to these special conditions, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.	YES	The special condition of the property is the front of the existing structure already encroaches on the required 19-ft setback, so the board was starting with a nonconforming property and the proposed variance involved two structural elements that are farther set back than the existing structure and therefore do not increase the degree of nonconformance of the property.



Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

April 25, 2023

John T. McDonald III & Mary R. McDonald 74 Sunset Road Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

RE: Board of Adjustment request for property located at 74 Sunset Road (LU-22-182)

Dear Property Owners:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, April 18, 2023**, considered your application for an addition of a chimney bump out which requires the following: 1) Variances from Section 10.521 to allow a) a 6.5 foot left yard where 10 feet is required; and b) 26.6% building coverage where 20% is required. 2) A Variance from Section 10.321 to allow a nonconforming building or structure to be expanded, reconstructed, or enlarged without conforming to the requirements of the Ordinance. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 166 Lot 36 and lies within the Single Residence B (SRB) district. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to

approve the request as presented and advertised.

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Any action taken by the applicant pursuant to the Board's decision during this appeal period shall be at the applicant's risk. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

Approvals may also be required from other City Commissions or Boards. Once all required approvals have been received, applicant is responsible for applying for and securing a building permit from the Inspection Department prior to starting any project work.

This approval shall expire unless a building permit is issued within a period of two (2) years from the date granted unless an extension is granted in accordance with Section 10.236 of the Zoning Ordinance.

The Findings of Fact associated with this decision are available: attached here <u>or</u> as an attachment in the Viewpoint project record associated with this application <u>and</u> on the Zoning Board of Adjustment Meeting website:

https://www.cityofportsmouth.com/planportsmouth/zoning-board-adjustment/zoning-board-adjustment-archived-meetings-and-material

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Very truly yours,

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

cc: Shanti Wolph, Chief Building Inspector

Rosann Maurice-Lentz, City Assessor

Phyllis Eldridge

R. Timothy Phoenix, Hoefle, Phoenix, Gormley & Roberts, PLLC Eric Weinrieb, Altus Engineering, Inc.

Date: 4-18-2023

Property Address: 74 Sunset Road

Application #: LU-22-182

Decision: **Grant**

Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation Criteria	Finding (Meets Criteria)	Relevant Facts
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.	YES	It was a very small bump out for the fireplace that will not change the overall conditions of the property and it is very similar in character to the other homes in the neighborhood, and there will be no harm to the public's health, safety, and welfare.
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.	YES	It was a very small bump out for the fireplace that will not change the overall conditions of the property and it is very similar in character to the other homes in the neighborhood, and there will be no harm to the public's health, safety, and welfare.

10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.	YES	The benefit to the applicant will not be outweighed by any harm to the public and the project will have no detrimental effect on the public and will improve the applicant's quality of life.
10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.	YES	 The updated home and better layout will not diminish other properties.
10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship. (a)The property has special Conditions that distinguish it from other properties in the area. AND (b)Owing to these special conditions, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and the proposed use is a reasonable one. OR Owing to these special conditions, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.	YES	 The special conditions of the property are that the location and layout of the current home on the property and the property is a smaller lot than the zoning required after the property existed. There is no fair and substantial relationship between the general purposes of the ordinance regarding the setbacks and the specific application of that provision to the property, with the fireplace bump out.



Planning Department 1 Junkins Avenue Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

(603) 610-7216

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

April 25, 2023

Joshua Wyatt and Erin Hichman 196 Aldrich Road Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

RE: Board of Adjustment request for property located at 196 Aldrich Road (LU-23-24)

Dear Property Owners,:

The Zoning Board of Adjustment, at its regularly scheduled meeting of **Tuesday, April 18, 2023**, considered your application for demolishing existing garage and construct new garage and construct new addition over existing side porch which requires the following: 1) Variances from Section 10.521 to allow a) a secondary front yard of 3 feet where 30 feet is required; b) a 6 foot rear setback where 10 feet 7 inches is required; c) 23% building coverage where 20% is the maximum allowed. 2) A Variance from Section 10.571 to allow and accessory structure to be 10 feet from the front lot line and located in the front yard. 3) A Variance from Section 10.321 to allow a nonconforming building or structure to be extended, reconstructed, or enlarged without conforming to the requirements of the Ordinance. Said property is shown on Assessor Map 153 Lot 25 and lies within the Single Residence B (SRB) District. As a result of said consideration, the Board voted to

approve the request as presented and advertised.

The Board's decision may be appealed up to thirty (30) days after the vote. Any action taken by the applicant pursuant to the Board's decision during this appeal period shall be at the applicant's risk. Please contact the Planning Department for more details about the appeals process.

Approvals may also be required from other City Commissions or Boards. Once all required approvals have been received, applicant is responsible for applying for and securing a building permit from the Inspection Department prior to starting any project work.

This approval shall expire unless a building permit is issued within a period of two (2) years from the date granted unless an extension is granted in accordance with Section 10.236 of the Zoning Ordinance.

The Findings of Fact associated with this decision are available: attached here <u>or</u> as an attachment in the Viewpoint project record associated with this application <u>and</u> on the Zoning Board of Adjustment Meeting website:

https://www.cityofportsmouth.com/planportsmouth/zoning-board-adjustment/zoning-board-adjustment-archived-meetings-and-material

The minutes and audio recording of this meeting are available by contacting the Planning Department.

Very truly yours,

Phyllis Eldridge, Chair of the Zoning Board of Adjustment

cc: Shanti Wolph, Chief Building Inspector

Rosann Maurice-Lentz, City Assessor

Phyllis Eldridge

Date: 4-18-2023

Property Address: 196 Aldrich Road

Application #: LU-23-24

Decision: Grant

Findings of Fact:

Effective August 23, 2022, amended RSA 676:3, I now reads as follows: The local land use board shall issue a final written decision which either approves or disapproves an application for a local permit and make a copy of the decision available to the applicant. The decision shall include specific written findings of fact that support the decision. Failure of the board to make specific written findings of fact supporting a disapproval shall be grounds for automatic reversal and remand by the superior court upon appeal, in accordance with the time periods set forth in RSA 677:5 or RSA 677:15, unless the court determines that there are other factors warranting the disapproval. If the application is not approved, the board shall provide the applicant with written reasons for the disapproval. If the application is approved with conditions, the board shall include in the written decision a detailed description of the all conditions necessary to obtain final approval.

Section 10.233 Variance Evaluation Criteria	Finding (Meets Criteria)	Relevant Facts
10.233.21 Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest.	YES	The criterion were related to the property's dimensional variances and are to prevent overbulking and overcrowding of the primary building, it is not contrary to the spirit of the ordinance because the primary structure would go up and the garage would be moved from one part of the property to another.
10.233.22 Granting the variance would observe the spirit of the Ordinance.	YES	The criterion were related to the property's dimensional variances and are to prevent overbulking and overcrowding of the primary building, it is not contrary to the spirit of the ordinance because the primary structure would go up and the garage would be moved from

		one part of the property to another.
10.233.23 Granting the variance would do substantial justice.	YES	There will be no loss to the public in that the overbulking of overcrowding of the lot isn't an issue.
10.233.24 Granting the variance would not diminish the values of surrounding properties.	YES	Any kind of improvement on a house almost automatically improves the values of surrounding properties.
10.233.25 Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship. (a)The property has special Conditions that distinguish it from other properties in the area. AND (b)Owing to these special conditions, a fair and substantial relationship does not exist between the general public purposes of the Ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and the proposed use is a reasonable one. OR Owing to these special conditions, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the Ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.	YES	The property has special conditions in that it is substantially smaller than the required lot area per the zoning ordinance and it is a quarter property hemmed in by Joffre Terrace, so the provisions of the zoning ordinance can't really be fairly applied to the property.