## LEGAL NOTICE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Board of Adjustment will hold Public Hearings on the following: applications #1 thru # 6 on Tuesday, May 16, 2006 at 7:00 P.M. and applications # 7 thru # 14 on Tuesday, May 23, 2006 at 7:00 P.M. in <u>Conference Room B</u>, Municipal Complex, 1 Junkins Avenue, Portsmouth, New Hampshire.

1) Petition of Steven J. and Suzanne V. Cook, owners, for property located at 524 Islington Street wherein the following are requested 1) a Variance from Article II, Section 10-207(14) to allow an existing four unit apartment building to be expanded to five dwelling units on the lot where the maximum allowed are four dwelling units, and 2) a Variance from Article IV, and Section 10-401(A)(1)(e) to allow the construction of a 10' x 40' two story addition in conjunction with the aforementioned conversion. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 156 as Lot 3 and lies within the Mixed Residential Business district. Case # 5-1

2) Petition of Doaks, LLC, owner, for property located at 100 Portsmouth Boulevard wherein a Variance from Article II, Section 10-212(G)(5) is requested to allow natural vegetation and new plantings to be provided along  $560'\pm$  starting at Portsmouth Boulevard and following the side of the parking lot and along the back of the hotel to the fence at the top of the slope where an 8' solid board fence is required. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 213 as Lot 2 and lies within the Office Research / Mariner's Village district. Case # 5-2

3) Petition of Steven C. Webb and Karen Butz-Webb, owners, of property located at 51 Gardner Street and Joseph D. and Joyce W. Ciancarelli, Trustees, owner of property located at 45 Gardner Street wherein a Variance from Article III, Section 10-302(A) is requested to allow a lot line revision between Lots 21 and 22 resulting in Lot 22 having the following: a) 1,346 sf of lot area where 5,000 sf is the minimum required, b) 34.15' of street frontage where 80' is the minimum required, c) a  $1.1'\pm$  left side yard where 10' is the minimum required, d)  $23\%\pm$  open space where 25% is the minimum required; and, e) 54%+ building coverage where 30% is the maximum allowed. Said properties are shown on Assessor Plan 103 as Lots 21 & 22 and lie within the General Residence A and Historic A districts. Case # 5-2

4) Petition of Golter Lobster Sales LLC, owner, for property located off Sagamore Avenue known as 929 Sagamore Avenue wherein a Variance from Article III, Section 10-301(A)(9) is requested to allow access to the lot off a private right of way to construct a 26' x 32' two story barn for water related uses where access is required from a public street or an approved private street and access is provided from an existing right of way. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 223 as Lot 28 and lies within the Waterfront Business district. Case # 5-4

5) Petition of Elizabeth A. Pruyn, owner, for property located at 41 Salter Street wherein concurrence with previously granted Variances from Article III, Section 10-302(A) and Article IV, Section 10-401(A)(1)(c) is requested for  $2'\pm$  right and  $2'\pm$  left side yards based on the recently completed survey depicting the existing dwelling with a  $0'\pm$  left side yard and a  $4'\pm$  right side yard and where the previously approved plan had shown  $2'\pm$  left side yard as both existing and proposed yards. The Board of Adjustment is being requested to advise whether the previously approved Variances should still be granted considering more accurate survey information. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 102 as Lot 30 and lies within the Waterfront Business and Historic A districts. Case # 5-5

6) Petition of A. Andrew Yager and Linda L. Hussey, owners, for property located at 1707 Islington Street wherein a Variance from Article III, Section 10-302(A) is requested to allow an irregular shaped  $868\pm$  sf two story addition with a 4'  $\pm$  left side yard where 10' is the minimum required. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 241 as Lot 23 and lies within the Single Residence B district. Case # 5-6

Legal Notice-Board of Adjustment May 16, 2006 and reconvened meeting of May 23, 2006 Page 2 7) Petition of Robert M. Granham Revocable Trust and Karen J. Granham Revocable Trust, owners, for property located at 664 Lincoln Avenue wherein the following are requested for the construction of a 16' x 20' detached garage: 1) a Variance from Article IV, Section 10-402(B) to allow a  $3'\pm$  right side yard where 10' is the minimum required, and 2) a Variance from Article III, Section 10-302(A) to allow  $32.4\%\pm$  building coverage where 25% is the maximum allowed. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 148 as Lot 19 and lies within the General Residence A district. Case # 5-7

8) Petition of Louie A. Prince, owner, for property located at 302 Bartlett Street wherein Variances from Article III, Section 10-302(A) and Article IV, Section 10-401(A)(2)(c) are requested to allow: a) a 6' x 31'10" front porch with a 6'6" $\pm$  front yard plus steps where 15' is the minimum required, and b) 26.8% $\pm$  building coverage where 25% is the maximum allowed. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 162 as Lot 52 and lies within the General Residence A district. Case # 5-8

9) Petition of Brams Family Revocable Trust, owners, John and Shannon Brams, Trustees, for property located at 28 Sherburne Avenue wherein Variances from Article III, Section 10-302(A) and Article IV, Section 10-401(A)(2)(c) are requested to allow: a) a 24' x 31' two story addition to the rear of the existing single family dwelling with a 6'5'' right side yard where 10' is the minimum required, and b) 28.6% building coverage where 25% building coverage is the maximum allowed. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 113 as Lot 12 and lies within the General Residence A district. Case # 5-9

10) Petition of Jerome and Georgiana Stellmach, owners, for property located at 70 Sims Avenue wherein Variances from Article III, Section 10-302(A) and Article IV, Section 10-401(A)(2)(c) are requested to allow a 12' x 12' deck with a  $3'\pm$  right side yard where 10' is the minimum required. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 233 as Lot 76 and lies within the Single Residence B district. Case # 5-10

11) Petition of Leila Blair and Jeffrey L. Demers, owners, for property located at 80 Haven Road wherein Variances from Article III, Section 10-302(A) and Article IV, Section 10-401(A)(2)(c) are requested to allow a 22' x 38' attached garage with living space above with a  $14'\pm$  front yard where 30' is the minimum required. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 206 as Lot 29 and lies within the Single Residence B district. Case # 5-11

12) Petition of Lewis B. and Dorothy W. Sykes, owners, for property located at 1047 Banfield Road wherein a Variance from Article II, Section 10-206(11) is requested to allow a 780 sf woodworking / furniture repair and refinishing business as a Home Occupation I within a  $1,260\pm$  sf (30' x 42') one story proposed addition to an existing single family dwelling. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 283 as Lot 40 and lies within the Single Residence A district. Case # 5-12

13) Petition of Strawbery Banke, Inc., owner, for property located off Marcy Street wherein a Variance from Article XII, Section 10-1201(A)(2) is requested to allow a reconfiguration and expansion of the existing parking lot off Marcy Street with an 18' wide two way travel aisle / new entrance where a 24' travel aisle is the minimum required. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 104 as Lot 7 and lies within the Mixed Residential Office and Historic A districts. Case # 5-13

14) Petition of C and B Family Trust, Barry M. and Claire F. Siegel Trustees, owner, for property located at 332 South Street wherein a Variance from Article III, Section 10-302(A) is requested to allow an 8' x 12' shed and an 8' x 10' shed creating 29.9% building coverage where 20% building coverage is the maximum allowed. Said property is shown on Assessor Plan 111 as Lot 12 and lies within the Single Residence B and Historic A districts. Case # 5-14