April 29, 2014

ADDENDUM NUMBER #3:

DESIGN SERVICES for Lafayette Rd / Middle St Bicycle Facilities RFP #63-14

This Addendum forms part of the original document marked: "**RFP #63-14 Lafayette Rd/Middle St Bicycle Facilities**".

Answers to Questions submitted:

1) Do you know what the current public right-of-way width(s) is/are along the Lafayette/Middle corridor?

The city has not done a survey of the ROW along this corridor. Based on City GIS data, ROW widths vary from about 45' to about 90'.

2) Has any topographic survey been performed recently at any points along the corridor?

No.

3) Has there been community outreach to date regarding the on-street parking along this corridor? Would the elimination of parking along one side of the street be feasible or is it intended that this will be determined as part of this project?

That will be determined as part of this project.

4) The RFP indicates that "there is currently only one signalized pedestrian crossing located at the South Street intersection." Based on a review of the field conditions, there also appears to be signalized pedestrian crossings at Summer/Miller and the State Street intersections. There is also a RRFB at Willard Ave.

That is correct.

5) How much funding has been allocated to the City as part of the SRTS Grant?

The SRTS Grant is \$88,534, the City also has budgeted additional City transportation funds if necessary.

6) Have there been any initial public meetings to solicit concerns from the public regarding the conditions along the Route 1 corridor?

No.

7) Is the City's GIS information referenced in Section V.1 detailed to such an extent that it would be suitable for design and construction? If not, should the scope be expanded to include land surveying?

All work is anticipated to be conducted within the existing paved area and it is the City's impression that the City's GIS should be suitable for design and construction. However, if necessary, the scope may be expanded for specific intersections or other locations which may require more accurate data.

8) Section V.6 includes presentation plans as requested by the city – projects nearly always include colorized engineering plans and sections. Is it anticipated that perspective views and renderings, as prepared by graphic artists and landscape architects, will also be requested for visualization purposes?

Yes.

9) The primary focus of this effort appears to be on providing bicycle facilities along the Lafayette/Middle Street corridor. However, the RFP notes the desire to also consider pedestrian mobility. Are we correct in assuming that the focus is on bicycle facilities, or do you envision this project also looking at addressing any gaps in the sidewalk network along the corridor?

The primary emphasis is on bicycle facilities, however the project may also identify where improvements may be necessary to improve pedestrian mobility particularly at intersections and at locations where bicycles and pedestrians interact.

Please acknowledge receipt of this addendum within your proposal, failure to do so may subject a proposer to disqualification.

End of Addendum #3