HDC

ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS

February 6th, 2019

1. 177 State Street (misc. changes) - Recommend Approval
2. 129 Market Street (door) - Recommend Approval
3. 76 Congress Street (pediment) - TBD
4. 40 Bridge Street (misc. changes) - Recommend Approval
5. 490 Marcy Street (dormer) - Recommend Approval
6. 14 Market Square (awning only) - Recommend Approval
1. 177 State Street (misc. changes)  - Recommend Approval

**Background:** This project was originally approved on October 4th, 2017. During construction several field changes were made to the building design. Twelve changes are noted on the three sheets and they range from adjustments to the storefront, the cornice details, upper floor windows modifications and minor changes to the trim on the columns and storefront.

**Staff Comment:** Given the relatively minor nature of the proposed field changes I would recommend this application be approved.
**Application for Approval - Administrative**

**Historic District Commission**

Owner: Fabbricatore Robert J  
Irrevoc Trust of 2012  
Applicant (if different):  

Address: 8213 Pendragon Rd  
*Richmond, VA 23227*  
Address:  
*(Street)*  
...(City, State, Zip)*

Phone: 603-430-0274  
Phone:  

Signature: [Signature]

---

**Location of Structure:** Map 107 Lot 44  
**Street Address:** 177 State Street

**Building Permit #:**  
**To permit the following:** Admin approval for items brought forward by land use compliance agent.

---

**Action Taken by H.D.C. at Public Meeting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Approval:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Stipulations:     |  |

| Signature of Principal Planner: |  |

---

**Administrative Use Only:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Meeting:</th>
<th>2-4-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payment: $100</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Payment Type: Ck # 5873 |  |

| Index/Permit #: |  |

---

**If approved, please acknowledge below:**

I hereby acknowledge that all changes or variation in the design as presented shall require further Historic District Commission approval.

---

Owner  
Revised: 11 April 17
Historic District Commission Administrative Approval - February 2019, Portsmouth, New Hampshire

The items on the following sheets correlate to a Land Use Compliance Inspection Report provided to the applicant in December 2018. Each item on the list differs from the original HDC approved drawings, and we respectfully request that you approve these changes as they are constructed today.

FROM ORIGINAL HDC APPROVAL - OCTOBER 4, 2017

FOREFRONT ELEVATION

Alterations to the Approved Elevations:
A. Recess door added above entrance door.
B. New door changed to entrance door.
C. Entablature broken into three segments.
D. Plate glass windows appear to have increased in height/reduced in width.
E. Brick column are greater than 7" in width.

McHEnRY ARCHITECTURE
4 Market Street
Portsmouth, New Hampshire
To be Completed:
1. I could not see the third story rear window from the ground. Please provide a photograph to verify the window is the correct material and has the appropriate number of lights.

Alterations to the Approved Elevations:
A. 2nd story window on either wall deleted.
B. Penrose panels have not been constructed per stipulation #2 of the letter of decision.

Window is same size as approved design but now a 3/3 rather than approved 6/6.

Brick pavers, to match adjacent sidewalk, with a granite band were installed per discussions with DPK.

FROM REVISED HDC APPROVAL - DECEMBER 6, 2017

FROM REVISED HDC APPROVAL - FEBRUARY 16, 2018

McHENRY ARCHITECTURE
4 Market Street
Portsmouth, New Hampshire

Historic District Commission Administrative Approval, February 2019
2. 129 Market Street (door) - Recommend Approval

**Background:** This project was originally approved on October 3rd, 2018. The original plans submitted with the application showed two different window styles for the double French door at the main entrance to the commercial unit. On January 2nd, 2019 the applicant received approval from the HDC to use the clear pane doors versus the grills that would match the new side door. Subsequent to the HDC approval the manufacturer of the doors shipped the product and it arrived with grills in the windows as originally designed and approved. The doors were then installed and the applicant is now requesting that the grills be allowed to remain in place.

**Staff Comment:** Given this was originally approved by the HDC coupled with the fact that it now matches the side door I would recommend this application be approved.
Application for Approval – Administrative Approval

Historic District Commission

Owner: Joy Curth
Address: 129 Market Street
         (Street)
         Portsmouth, NH 03801
         (City, State, Zip)
Phone: 858-882-7459

Applicant (if different): 
Address: 
         (Street)
         (City, State, Zip)
Phone: 

Location of Structure: Map 102 / Lot 47
Building Permit #: 
Street Address: 129 Market Street

To permit the following (please include photos of the existing conditions and clear description of the proposed work):

Modify the double entry doors along Ceres Street entrance to now match the custom wood side door with grills.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Taken by Principal Planner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stipulations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature of Principal Planner:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If approved, please note that:

Any and all changes or modifications in the design as approved shall require further review and approval.

Revised: 11 April 17
A - EXISTING
OPENING: 4'-61/2"X6'-51/2"
WINDOW SIZE: 3'-83/4"X5'-0"

B - EXISTING
OPENING: 7'-41/4"X6'-8"

C - EXISTING
MASSONRY OPENING: 3'-31/4"X4'-101/2"
WINDOW SIZE: 2'-7"X4'-2"

D - EXISTING
MASSONRY OPENING: ±3'-4"X4'-81/2"
WINDOW SIZE: ±3'-0"X6'-41/2"

A - PROPOSED
CUSTOM SINGLE DOOR WITH SALVAGED EXISTING SIDELIGHT

B - PROPOSED
OUTSWING DOUBLE FRENCH DOOR

C - PROPOSED
GREEN MOUNTAIN WINDOW DOUBLE HUNG

D - PROPOSED
GREEN MOUNTAIN WINDOW DOUBLE HUNG EGRESS

129 MARKET STREET - UNIT A
PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE

WINDOWS AND DOORS AFFECTED

ORIGINAL APPROVED ON 10.3.18

PAGE 4 OF 4
03 OCTOBER 2018
A - EXISTING
OPENING: 4'-61/2"x6'-51/2"
WINDOW SIZE: 3'-83/4"x5'-0"

B - EXISTING
OPENING: 7'-41/4"x6'-8"
WINDOW SIZE: 7'-4 1/4"

C - EXISTING
MASONRY OPENING: 3'-31/4"x4'-101/2"
WINDOW SIZE: 2'-7"x4'-2"

D - EXISTING
MASONRY OPENING: 3'-4"x3'-81/2"
WINDOW SIZE: 3'-0"x3'-4 1/2"

A - PROPOSED
CUSTOM WOOD SINGLE DOOR WITH SALVAGED EXISTING SIDELIGHT

B - PROPOSED
OUTSWING CUSTOM WOOD DOUBLE FRENCH DOOR

C - PROPOSED
GREEN MOUNTAIN WINDOW DOUBLE HUNG

D - PROPOSED
GREEN MOUNTAIN WINDOW DOUBLE HUNG EGRESS

129 MARKET STREET - UNIT A
PORTSMOUTH, NEW HAMPSHIRE

PROJECT NO. 201825

WINDOWS AND DOORS AFFECTED

MODIFIED APPROVAL 1.2.19

PAGE 2 OF 2

09 JANUARY 2019

© 2018
EXISTING

PROPOSED

NEW DOORS FLUSH WITH FACE OF EXTERIOR CASING TO ALLOW FOR 180° OPENING AGAINST WALL

PROPOSED TO ADD GRILLS TO MATCH THE SIDE DOOR.
3. 76 Congress Street (pediment) - TBD

**Background:** This project was originally approved on June 6th, 2018. Included in the stipulations for approval was a condition that the existing storefront design (c.1940s) shall remain in place (except for a minor adjustment to the recessed entry door). During construction, the applicant appears to have erroneously made an executive decision that any changes to the sign band portion of the storefront did not need HDC approval. Thus, significant changes were made to the facade without review and approval from the HDC.

**Staff Comment:** Understanding the modifications to the façade are significant I would suggest that the HDC provide the applicant an opportunity to present the changes and make the case for why these changes should be approved.
Application for Approval - Administrative

Historic District Commission

Owner: State 67 LLC
Applicant (if different): Ender Ozgun

Address: 76 Congress St
Portsmouth, NH 03801
(City, State, Zip)

Phone: ____________________________ Phone: 914-565-7053

Signature: __________________________

Location of Structure: Map _______ Lot _______ Street Address: 76 Congress St

Building Permit #: _______________________

To permit the following: modification to the approved facade

Action Taken by H.D.C. at Public Meeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Approval:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stipulations:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature of Principal Planner: __________________________

Administrative Use Only:

Date of Meeting: 2-6-19
Payment: 1-17-19
Payment Type: CC
Index/Permit #: _______________________

If approved, please acknowledge below:

I hereby acknowledge that all changes or variation in the design as presented shall require further Historic District Commission approval.

Owner: __________________________

Revised: 11 April 17
I will apologize in advance and ask that you recognize that this was not a deliberate attempt to subvert the process. The whole endeavor has been in a constant state of evolution since the original plan & concept were first presented.

When the project first arrived at the HDC the idea was to replace the existing horizontal sign board pretty much in kind with something similar to that which is down the street at The Goat or Kafee VonSolln or the Hartford Building – nice molding profile and detailing. However, the business owners had not yet settled on a logo and name or a color palette & materials. Everything has been evolving.

I had concluded my work and that was it for the time being. They came back to me later and proposed doing a roof like what is there now. They wanted the sign board to be more than a sign board. It was going to be an expression of the logo & the business. They didn't want it to merely say Fresh House it should look like Fresh House.

I had thought that this was not intrinsic to the building but something, as Jon Wycoff had pointed out, as part of the storefront that comes and goes with the tenant so I did not think it required another review. It acts as a marquee & was a signage issue. The final details had still not been worked out.

The concern has always been that the building facade is a little tired, a little dingy and they wanted to distinguish the first floor space from the rest of the building so that it would look fresh and be distinguished from what is going on above or to the side. The brick is a pale yellow and somewhat dirty. The concrete window sills above are discolored & dark. The paint on the windows above are chipped or peeling. The aluminum storefront door to the side
is worn.

Originally, they were going to do the whole exterior facade in a species of wood that they could clear stain and that would hold up to weather similar to that at the new Bangor Savings Bank on the corner of Bow & Ceres, I think it is mahogany, but they have used a variety of wood species that will need to be painted or solid stained or covered with aluminum similar to what was there before.

The thought at this point is to finish off the base of the storefront picture windows with a vertical wood v match tongue & groove similar to the wood above with vertical trim at either side covering the brick.

They would like it to have an earthy, natural look, but fresh.
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

Date: June 12, 2018

To: State 67 LLC
50 School Street Unit #5
Portsmouth, NH 03801

Re: 76 Congress Street

The Historic District Commission considered your proposal at its meeting on June 6, 2018 wherein permission was requested to allow the removal and replacement of a storefront and entry (including renovations to an existing first floor tenant space) as per plans on file in the Planning Department.

After due deliberation, the Commission voted that the request be approved with the following stipulations:

1. The existing storefront design (c. 1940’s) shall remain with the exception that the entrance door may be relocated 8 feet closer to the street.
2. The elevated display cases shall remain in front of the new door location.

Findings of Fact: The proposed application meets the following purposes of the Historic District Ordinance (as applicable):

A. Purpose and Intent:
   □ Yes □ No - Preserve the integrity of the District
   ✓ Yes □ No - Maintain the special character of the District
   □ Yes □ No - Assessment of the Historical Significance
   □ Yes □ No - Complement and enhance the architectural and historic character
   □ Yes □ No - Conservation and enhancement of property values
   □ Yes □ No - Promote the education, pleasure & welfare of the District to the city residents and visitors.
Project Description:
Renovation of existing 1st floor tenant space
- Change of use from clothing retail to food service - no alcohol
- Remove existing elevated glass displays & keep existing storefront system w/ door
- Patch & match existing exterior stone floor surface
- New exhaust duct & make up air unit at roof in back

Notes:
(Field verify all dimensions)
0 5 10 20
PORT CITY DESIGN
architecture planning interiors
603.312.1707
953 Islington Street
Portsmouth, NH 03801
Client - Project:
76 Congress St.
Portsmouth, NH 03801
Renovations to Existing Tenant Space

Existing Storefront

Proposed Storefront

Project Description:
Renovation of existing 1st floor tenant space
Change of use from retail to food service
All greases, electrical, smoke, fire detection & sprinklers to code.
Existing masonry & wood frame building w/ brick facade & membrane roof
Basement - full w/ slab & crawl space w/ dirt

Notes:
Existing to be removed shown dashed
New partitions shown shaded

Existing Tenant Space

Proposed Tenant Space

Existing Floor Plan
1316 s.f. Footprint

Proposed Floor Plan
1316 s.f. Footprint

Dwg. Index:
A1 - existing & proposed plans & elevations

2016-4-7 - hsq neph
2016-4-18 - hdp, neph

1st floor tenant space renovations

A 1

(Solid verify all dimensions)
4. 40 Bridge Street (misc. changes)  - Recommend Approval

**Background:** This project was originally approved on June 3rd, 2015. During construction several field changes were made. These changes are the subject of this application. The applicant has listed each of the design changes according to the respective building elevation. The changes range from minor window modifications, handrail design, veneer block height, column design, and the addition of several termination vents in the roof and walls. Note that full screens were also used on the windows without HDC approval.

**Staff Comment:** Understanding that there are many minor changes to the building design I would suggest that the HDC offer the applicant an opportunity to present this application and answer and questions from the Commission.
Application for Approval - Administrative

Historic District Commission

Tanner Bridge Development, LLC
Owner: c/o Anthony DiLorenzo

Lee Brown
Applicant (if different):
Whitcher Builders

Address: 549 US Highway1 By-Pas
(Street)
Portsmouth, NH 03801
(City, State, Zip)

Address: 254 Drake Hill Road
(Street)
Strafford, NH 03884
(City, State, Zip)

Phone: ____________________________ Phone: 603-345-1509

Signature: _______________________

Location of Structure: Map 126 Lot 52 Street Address: 40-42 Bridge Street
Building Permit #: 13615

To permit the following: Request approval of minor building modifications as detailed on attached sheets.
** Note: Two items are requested to be deferred until such time a tenant/owner occupies the commercial space. Specifically for the East Elevation- (first floor) want the awnings and sign banner be part of the fitup work for the first floor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Taken by H.D.C. at Public Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Approval:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stipulations:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature of Principal Planner: ____________________________

Administrative Use Only:
Date of Meeting: ______________
Payment: ______________
Payment Type: ______________
Index/Permit #: ______________

If approved, please acknowledge below:
I hereby acknowledge that all changes or variation in the design as presented shall require further Historic District Commission approval.

Owner

Revised: 11 April 17
23 January 2019
Mr. Nick Cracknell
Principal Planner
Portsmouth Planning Department
City Hall
1 Junkins Avenue
Portsmouth, NH 03801

Re: 40 Bridge Street- Request for HDC Administrative Approval

Dear Sir:

As our project is wrapping up, we are requesting a final Historic District Commission Administrative Approval for various construction modifications made during the construction process. As you know, we have been working with Vincent Hayes and have taken his developed list of changes and for each alteration have provided a “snap shot” of the change vs the proposed detail and when appropriate added a note or comment and created the attached response submittal for your review with the Commission. Should you have any questions or concerns please do not hesitate to contact us.

There are two items on Vincent’s list that are tied to the first floor commercial space that I am not sure how to address with you and the Commission. They are specifically the awnings and the sign banner that are to be installed on the East Elevation and will be part of the fit-up work for commercial whenever a tenant or buyer is determined.

Also attached is the completed HDC Application for Approval- Administrative along with a check to cover the one hundred dollar fee.

We appreciate all of Vincent and your assistance in this process of review.

Sincerely,

Lee Brown
Project Manager

Cc: Vincent Hayes, Planning Dept.
Attachments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Two corner column on left front enlarged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Unit 201 center window (2nd floor) not mullied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed:

Actual:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Two corner column on left front enlarged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Unit 201 center window (2nd floor) not mullied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes/Comments

1. Left side end columns were enlarged to accommodate the fire department hookup location, which PFD located in the field. The opposite end column was enlarge in order to match width.

2. The structural engineer wanted structural studs between the window units to assist in carrying the roof and floor loads
Central ground (commercial) transom lites increase in size

Proposed:

Actual:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Missing Muntin bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Canvas Awning- over left &amp; right commercial front windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Sign band @center entr., center glass awning moved up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed:

Actual:
Notes/Comments:

1. Awnings and sign band is contingent on commercial space tenant(s). Presently no tenants signed up.

Added information:
Granite at Column at Garage Entrance is taller

Proposed:

Actual:
Proposed:

Actual:

Vent - Fireplace

Bathroom vents
Proposed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Rear NW doors – Transom Removed</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Handrails do not have spindles</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Narrative:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Rear NW doors – Transom Removed</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Handrails do not have spindles</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Existing:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Ground floor vent deleted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>No return on lower cornice detail (runs straight over center entry)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3 transoms deleted 3rd floor Balcony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proposed:**

**Actual:**

```
Vent replaced by two (2) smaller exhaust/intake ports, one on each side of ctr glass
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Ground floor vent deleted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>No return on lower cornice detail (runs straight over center entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3 transoms deleted 3rd floor Balcony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Ground floor vent deleted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>No return on lower cornice detail (runs straight over center entry)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3 transoms deleted 3rd floor Balcony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes/comments:

1. The original ground floor vent depicted was a place holder, as no HVAC system was designed. Two separate units have been installed; each have a combination intake/exhaust port.

2. A return cornice would have been below the transom window so a shadow box detail was created to reflect the proper trim configuration but leave space for the transom.

3. The original HDC transom detail failed to take in the pitch of the roof (to the rear of the building) and was constructed eliminated the vertical space for the three transoms at the balcony.
Proposed:

Notes/Comment:

1. Architectural HDC rendering did not take in the door that was being install to access the balcony.
2. For the following two photos please note there is a front and rear deck with the same sun room details. The photo of the exterior is actually the front porch view while the second photo is an actual photo of the rear sunroom from an interior perspective.
Front Porch Exterior view – which is the same configuration as the rear porch.

Interior view (looking out – south-west) of rear porch
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Rear Vent relocated &amp; enlarged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Lower Cornice details given returns rather than running continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Full screens vs half screens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed:

Actual:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Rear Vent relocated &amp; enlarged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Lower Cornice details given returns rather than running continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Full screens vs half screens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual:

Notes/Comments:

1. Rear vent was relocated & enlarged as dictated by the emergency generator placement and air intake requirements.
2. Cornice as returned to match the upper one.
3. Note full screens are typical for the entire building.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item Designation</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Siding change Unit south deck- Solid Hardie to clapboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Full screens vs half screens- this issue is for the entire building</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed:

Actual:
5. 490 Marcy Street (dormer) - Recommend Approval

**Background:** This project was originally approved on July 11th, 2018. The original dormer design approved by the HDC showed the proposed dormer matching the rear dormer as draw and depicted on the application. Unfortunately, the architectural elevation provided to the Commission was in error as the existing rear dormer actually terminated at the ridge of the roof versus the setback lower down as shown on the approved plan. During construction the contract made a decision to construct the dormer to match the rear dormer. Thus, both dormers terminate at the ridge.

**Staff Comment:** Understanding the fact that the rear dormer terminated at the ridge due to structural issues I would recommend this be approved as requested.
Application for Approval – Administrative Approval

Historic District Commission

Owner: Steven Craig
Applicant (if different): ________________________________
Address: 490 Marcy Street (Street)
Portsmouth, NH 03801 (City, State, Zip)
Phone: ________________________________

Location of Structure: Map 101 / Lot 58
Building Permit #: ____________
Street Address: 490 Marcy Street

To permit the following (please include photos of the existing conditions and clear description of the proposed work):

Modify the previously-approved dormer design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Taken by Principal Planner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Approval: 02-6-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stipulations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature of Principal Planner:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas J. Cracknell, AICP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If approved, please note that:
Any and all changes or modifications in the design as approved shall require further review and approval.

Revised: 11 April 17
6.14 Market Square (awning only) - Recommend Approval

**Background:** This application is seeking approval to replace the existing fixed awning with a roll-up awning as shown on the attached images and elevations. Although the applicant's submission material shows other changes (i.e. storefront modifications and window replacement) these will be filed under a separate application for a Work Session in March.

**Staff Comment:** I would recommend approval of this minor request to upgrade the awning.
Application for Approval - Administrative
Historic District Commission

Owner: JES Investments
Applicant (if different): James Doherty

Address: ____________________________
(Street)

(City, State, Zip)

Phone: ____________________________

Signature: ____________________________

Location of Structure: Map _______ Lot _______ Street Address: 14 Market Square

Building Permit #: B106-9-63

To permit the following: NEW SIGNAGE & Awning FOR Tuscan Market

Action Taken by H.D.C. at Public Meeting

Date of Approval: ____________________________
Recommendation: ____________________________
Stipulations: ____________________________

Signature of Principal Planner: ____________________________

Administrative Use Only:
Date of Meeting: 2-6-19
Payment: ________
Payment Type: CASH
Index/Permit #: ____________________________

If approved, please acknowledge below:

I hereby acknowledge that all changes or variation in the design as presented shall require further Historic District Commission approval.

Owner: ____________________________
Revised: 11 April 17
EXISTING

Deep forest green awning full width of building feels heavy
Woodwork and trim painted cream or yellow
Existing round wood blade sign

Max Sign Square Footages
Wall Sign - 40sf (one permitted at each facade)
Blade Sign - 12sf (corner blade sign proposed at location of previous)
Awning Sign - 20sf
EXTERIOR - PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION

Cooper Black Awning Fabric by Sunbrella

Bronze-colored exterior woodwork/wood storefront with gold glaze

Signage: Refer to Signage Package
- Stud-mounted gold Tuscan Market sign
- Round wood blade sign (2)
- Hand-painted gold window lettering
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